Edison response to ACER public consultation PC_2014_R_07

REMIT: List of organised market places

Consultation questions

1. Please provide us with your comments on the draft list of organised market places. Do you see any omissions or errors in the list? Do you think that any organised market place or any information on organised market places is missing, that should be published in order to facilitate transaction reporting under REMIT? Please comment especially the potential organised market place status of those entities marked with an asterisk that had not registered themselves as organised market places at the time when this public consultation was launched. Please justify your reply.

The Italian Stock Exchange (called "Borsa Italiana") (derivatives on energy commodities) should be added to the list of Organised Market Places (OMP).
The list should indicate which commodity every OMP deals with (Electricity, Gas, both).
We think that the OMP registered office address should be published.

3. The Agency currently believes that primary auction platforms for transportation contracts do not have to be listed as organised market places. Do you agree with this approach? Please justify your reply.

Being the obligation directly referred to TSO, on one side it's not strictly necessary to include them (primary auction platforms) in the list, but on the other side we think that for an exhaustive view they should be present.

4. The final list of organised market places is supposed to include organised market place IDs for the purpose of facilitating transaction reporting under REMIT. Do you agree that the list of organised market places should make this information publicly available? If not, please justify your reply.

Yes, we agree: organised market place IDs must be public.

5. The list of organised market places is supposed to be updated in a timely manner. The Agency is currently intending to update the list on a regular basis as and when required, in particular as and when the Agency is given further information on gaps. Do you agree with this approach? If not, please justify your reply.

The list being published on a web site, commonly you would expect that data are always the updated version. However, the date of the list update must be reported on the site and the market participant must be notified by mail that there is an updated list. The list should also be available in XML format and via RSS.