

ACER-IN-2015-1334

1. Would you add any other field not included in the current proposal? If so, please explain your reasoning.

No, we would not add any additional fields.

2. Would you remove any field represented in the current proposal? If so, please explain your reasoning.

No, we agree with the current ACER proposal on the fields represented in the document.

3. Would you change any of the descriptions, accepted values or applicability? If so, please explain your reasoning. Are the schemas or values that you are suggesting based on any industry standard? Which one(s)?

We received a concern from one of the Hungarian Market Participants on submitted information concerning capacity allocation stating that the simultaneous use of the "Available Capacity" and the "Nominal Capacity" fields could be misleading due to the fact that during the stable operation of a power plant the data potentially may not be the same for both of the above mentioned fields.

4. Do you agree with the use of RSS or ATOM feeds to fulfil the requirement under Article 10(1) of the REMIT Implementing Regulation?

Yes, we agree with the use of RSS feeds. It would be a helpful solution and it is requested by the Market Participants also.

## **OTHER REMARKS:**

Please be informed that in Hungary the fields of the Inside Information Website are defined by the Ministry of National Development Regulations 57/2013 (27 September) (hereafter: MND Regulations), therefore before changing any fields on the Inside Information Website we need to inform the Hungarian NRA and we need to request the modification of the MND Regulations in order to be able to add any other fields to the Inside Information Website.

As far as we know the Hungarian NRA has already sent the Inside Information Website users' requests and remarks to ACER.