Contribution ID: f6177dbc-6ab5-47a2-8fe4-3636272d820c

Date: 06/01/2021 10:23:42

Public Consultation on day-ahead and withinday multipliers Based on Article 13(3) of the Network Code on Harmonised Transmission Tariff Structures for Gas

PC_2020_G_19

1. Objective

Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas ('NC TAR') entered into force in 2017 and it has introduced a number of provisions on multipliers that are applicable for the calculation of short-term capacity products (quarterly, monthly, daily and within-day).

The NC TAR provides the possibility for the Agency to issue a recommendation to cap the multipliers used to calculate the reserve prices of day-ahead ('DA') and within-day ('WD') capacity products to 1.5.

The objective of this consultation is to gather views and information from stakeholders on the impact of DA and WD multipliers in order to assess the possibility of issuing a recommendation to limit the level of these multipliers

The provision foreseeing this possibility is laid out in Article 13(3) of the NC TAR:

"By 1 April 2023, the maximum level of multipliers for daily standard capacity products and for within-day standard capacity products shall be no more than 1,5, if by 1 April 2021 the Agency issues a recommendation in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 713/2009 that the maximum level of multipliers should be reduced to this level. This recommendation shall take into account the following aspects related to the use of multipliers and seasonal factors before and as from 31 May 2019:

- changes in booking behaviour;
- impact on the transmission services revenue and its recovery;
- differences between the level of transmission tariffs applicable for two consecutive tariff periods;
- cross-subsidisation between network users having contracted yearly and non-yearly standard capacity products;
- impact on cross-border flows."

The Agency invites stakeholders to express their views on the points referred to in Article 13(3) of the NC TAR.

2. Target group

This consultation is addressed to European associations, national associations, TSOs, shippers or energy trading entities, end-users and others.

3. Deadline

Please provide your response by 9 December 2020, 23:59 hrs (CET).

4. Identification data and confidential information

Please indicate the following data:

Name:

Position held:

Phone number and contact e-mail:

Name and address of the company you represent:

Gazprom Marketing and Trading Ltd

Your country:

- AT Austria
- BE Belgium
- BG Bulgaria
- HR Croatia
- O CY Cyprus
- CZ Czechia
- DK Denmark
- EE Estonia
- FI Finland
- FR France

DE - Germany	
EL - Greece	
HU - Hungary	
IE - Ireland	
O IT - Italy	
C LV - Latvia	
C LT - Lithuania	
LU - Luxembourg	
MT - Malta	
NL - Netherlands	
PL - Poland	
PT - Portugal	
RO - Romania	
SK - Slovak Republic	
SI - Slovenia	
ES - Spain	
SE - Sweden	
Other country, if not in the list above: United Kingdom	
Please indicate, if your company/organisation is:	
European association	
National association	
○ TSO	
Shipper or energy trading entity	
End-user	
Other (e.g. Power Exchanges, Storage Operator etc.).	
If other, please specify below:	
Any confidential information should be marked clearly as such, including the word 'CONFIDENTIAL' in the subject of the e-mail, as ACER will not treat e-mails which contain only a general disclaimer (usually	ne
Subject of the e-mail, as Aolin will not freat e-mails which contain only a general discialmer (usually	

Any confidential information should be marked clearly as such, including the word 'CONFIDENTIAL' in the subject of the e-mail, as ACER will not treat e-mails which contain only a general disclaimer (usually automatically added) as containing confidential information. If respondents want to claim confidentiality, they should provide an explanation of their confidentiality interests and a non-confidential version of their response for publication. For more details on this, please see the Rules of Procedure of the Agency (Article 9 of Decision No 19/2019 of the administrative board of the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators of 11 December 2019)

Is your input into this consultation confidential?

Yes

No

5. Publication of responses and privacy

The Agency will publish all non-confidential responses, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing the Agency's consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see the Agency's Guidance Note on Consultations and the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation.

6. Related documents

- Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators.
- Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas.
- ACER Guidance Note on Consultations
- Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas

7. Background

Multipliers are used to set tariffs for short-term gas transmission capacity products in comparison with the reference prices applied to yearly capacity products. Article 13 of the NC TAR sets out that the level for DA and WD multipliers for standard capacity products shall be *no less than 1 and no more than 3. In duly justified cases, the level of the respective multipliers may be less than 1, but higher than 0, or higher than 3.*

Overall, shippers use different capacity booking strategies taking into account their supply and demand portfolios, market dynamics and gas transmission tariffs both on yearly and short-term capacity products. For example, shippers may secure a certain amount of capacity with yearly capacity products while they cover the seasonal and short-term variations with short-term capacity products.

Multipliers can impact the gas market in various ways, depending on the balance between the short-term and the long-term:

On the first hand, relatively high multipliers on short-term products can deter network users from booking short-term capacity for trading or balancing purposes. On the other hand, high multipliers incentivises yearly bookings which are deemed favourable to TSOs revenue recovery and which allow shippers to flow gas across hubs even when spot market spreads are below the capacity reference price.

From a competition perspective, multipliers can also lead to different outcomes. They have a distributional effect, through the share of revenue recovered from users holding short-term or long-term capacity products. Multipliers can be set with the primary objective of avoiding cross-subsidisation between network users and enhancing the cost-reflectivity of reserve prices. In contrast, low short-term multipliers can be

considered as a way to foster competition and to incentivise more dynamic booking strategies.

When setting multipliers, NRAs should considers these different interactions, as required by Article 28 of the NC TAR, to avoid a potential welfare loss for EU consumers.

8. Consultation topics and questions

For all the questions, **please provide supporting evidence**, which can include the identification of IPs were a referred event is relevant and/or a time period for the phenomena observed (how, when and for how long it applies). Supportive evidence can include data, tables and it can be accompanied by examples.

Factual evidence on the effects of the current provisions is highly relevant to evaluate their effectiveness and to assess whether a recommendation could lead to an improvement.

Topic 1: Changes in booking behaviour

1. What role do short-term capacity products (DA and WD) play in your capacity booking strategy (balancing activities, market arbitrage, supply profiling...)?

We book short-term DA and WD capacity for balancing activities, market arbitrage and for activities related with flexibility contracts with TSOs.

- 2. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers impact booking behaviour and booking strategies (could be your own booking strategy or those of other market players)? For instance, have you observed that low DA and WD multipliers can shift contracted capacity from yearly capacity products to shorter-term capacity products?
 - Yes
 - O No
 - Other
- 2.1 Please explain your reasoning:

In some jurisdictions there is no differentiation between long term and short term products and, therefore, a shift from long term booking to short term booking cannot clearly be observed. In the UK, for example, where the DA and yearly multipliers are identical, there is no a clear shift from long term booking to short term booking but, rather, we observe that market participants are not booking capacity compared to the levels that they used to.

Although, we understand that short term capacities should be priced low enough to incentivise short-term trade but sufficiently high to support long term bookings to achieve TSO revenues and tariffs, we are of the view that annual reference prices are set at excessive high levels, which makes currently capacity costly regardless the level of the multipliers. When applying short term multipliers, short term products become significantly expensive which deters market participants from booking them. At some borders, the cost of capacity is far higher than the spread commodity prices in the market which reduces any incentive for market

ark		

More generally, given that yearly capacity booking has decreased recently, it would be suitable to set reference prices on shorter capacity products rather than using annual products as a reference by adjusting the tariff methodology accordingly. A system where yearly products are discounted and DA and WD products are premium to monthly/quarterly products would be more reflective of the actual trading booking activity observed in the EU gas markets.

Topic 2: Impact on the transmission services revenue and its recovery

 3. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers impact transmission services revenue and its recovery? In particular, could low DA and WD multipliers induce under-recoveries of TSOs' revenues on a transitory basis (in most systems such under-recoveries are systematically rolled to next years by revenue reconciliation mechanisms)? Yes No Other 3.1 Please explain your reasoning:
· · ·
We are of the view that issues related to under-recoveries of TSOs' revenues are impacted by low DA and WD multipliers at a lesser extent. We believe more efforts should be carried out to make tariff methodologies used by TSOs more transparent for market participants to be able to better understand the causes of the under-recoveries issues when applicable.
Topic 3: Differences between the level of transmission tariffs applicable for
two consecutive tariff periods
 4. Have you observed significant changes in DA and WD multipliers in the 2016-20 period? Yes No Other
4.1 Please explain your reasoning:
Please refer to the answer on question 2.1
5. Have you observed that changes in multipliers have led to changes in the tariffs applicable for other

capacity products (e.g. yearly capacity product)?

Yes

Other
1 Please explain your reasoning:
High short term multipliers has led to an overall reduction on short term bookings which in turn has led to higher capacity prices.
opic 4: Cross-subsidisation between network users having contracted early and non-yearly standard capacity products
Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers have placed or could place in the coming years accessive costs on short-term capacity compared to the costs recovered through yearly capacity products? Yes No Other
1 In the affirmative, how could it affect competition and market integration?
Capacity is expensive which limits market arbitrage opportunities and reduce competition. Currently capacity costs are substantially high and spread prices are not wide enough to provide market arbitrage opportunities. As a consequence, there are no incentives to book long term capacity which leads to less long term capacity being booked forward and more shippers utilizing short term products. Also, as long term capacity booking reduces, there will be more pressure on booking short term capacity for balancing services when the networks are constraint.
2 Please explain how you evaluate if costs for short-term bookings are excessive compared to yearly bokings and on what criteria you base your argument.
Not only is the cost of short term capacity excessive compared to the cost of yearly bookings but cost of the capacity as a whole is excessive.
opic 5: Impact on cross-border flows
Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers have impacted or could impact in the coming years crossorder flows? Consider, in particular, situations where high DA and WD multipliers may prevent the use of vailable cross-border capacity or where high multipliers for DA and WD capacity product may negatively fect the correlation between gas prices in neighbouring hubs. Output Description:

O No

O No

7.1 Please explain your reasoning:
As mentioned in point 6, given the cost of the capacity is excessively expensive (with our without applying DA and WD multipliers), there is not clear incentive to book short term capacity from a trading perspective. Therefore cross border trading can be impacted negatively in the upcoming years.
8. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers can be a market barrier (for instance by granting an advantage to holders of long-term bookings)? Yes No Other
8.1 Please explain your reasoning:
It is a barrier as reference prices are high and DA and WD multipliers make short term products even more expensive negatively impacting short term trading.
Conclusion
9. From your perspective, what would be the advantages and disadvantages of capping DA and WD multipliers at 1.5 across Europe?
In terms of advantages, tackling excessive pricing for short term products will contribute to an increase of market arbitrage opportunities which in turn will increase competition and market integration. We do not see any disadvantage.
Thank you for your reply!

Other

Contact

Contact Form

8