# **ACER Consultation Template. Tariff NC Article 26(5)**

**Disclaimer.**

*This file is a draft for internal use of NRAs/TSOs and is published on ACER’s website for a limited period, under restricted access conditions and it is not intended for distribution. All data included in this file is intended to serve informational purposes only, does not represent a determination of legal rights or responsibilities and should not be construed as legal advice. ACER assumes no liability whatsoever (neither expressly nor implicitly) in relation to any error, omission, or inaccuracy of law or fact in the information contained on this website and shall accept no responsibility for any direct, indirect or consequential loss or damage incurred by any user in connection with this file and its use.*

**Comments, questions and general feedback to be sent by 28 June (preferably by 26 June) to:** [**miguel.martinez@acer.europa.eu**](mailto:miguel.martinez@acer.europa.eu)

Website text

Following the publication of the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a Network Code on Harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas ('TAR NC'), the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (‘The Agency’) has developed a template for the periodic consultation of the reference price methodology (‘RPM’). In doing so, it fulfils the obligation laid out in Article 26(5) of the TAR NC according to which, the Agency shall develop a template for the consultation document referred to in Article 26(1) of the TAR NC. This template shall be made available to national regulatory authorities (‘NRAs’) and transmission system operators (‘TSOs’) before 5 July 2017.

The template is made available for the consultation(s) on the RPM based on Article 26(1) of the TAR NC. The NRA and TSO responsible for this consultation can structure this process as a single consultation or as multiple consultations followed by a final consultation. In either case, the final consultation shall comply with all the requirements set out in Article 26 and in Article 27. The final consultation shall compile the previous consultation document(s) and shall be forwarded to the Agency. The template has been designed for the purpose of the final consultation, which shall be forwarded to the Agency.

Independently of the use that the NRA/TSO makes of the template, the TSO/NRA running the consultation on the RPM should not deviate from the intent of Chapter VII of the Tariff NC and from the minimum consultation requirements provided in Article 26.

Article 27 defines the timeline to be followed for the consultation(s), the involvement of the Agency, and the NRA decision-making process. The deadline for the publication of tariffs according to the TAR NC is 31st May 2019.

# Use of the template

The template is available to NRAs and TSOs on the Agency's website as an online platform. This tool is intended to facilitate complying with several obligations laid out in the TAR NC for NRAs, TSOs and for The Agency. In addition, it allows to further promote transparency by providing stakeholders with an instrument to compare consultations in a systematic manner across Member States. The main functionalities that the template online tool allows are:

* **Consultation template:**Implemented as a checklist and as an online survey, it allows NRAs/TSOs submitting to ACER a summary of the consultation on the RPM.
* **Publication of consultation summaries based on the template**: The summary of the consultation can be downloaded by the NRA/TSO and included as an annex in the national consultation document. Independently of the decision of the NRA/TSO to publish this summary, the Agency plans to publish it on its website.
* **Submission of consultation documentation to ACER**: The online template provides a platform which NRAs/TSOs and TSOs can use to submit the consultation documentation to the Agency.

The aim of this approach is to render the template an effective tool in the national consultation process and beyond it. The template is expected to simplify the implementation of the TAR NC by creating a communication channel between NRAs/TSOs and ACER to facilitate complying with several of the TAR NC obligations. This functionality will serve to make interactions between ACER and NRAs/TSOs more efficient and will facilitate ACER’s review of the national consultations.

As a result of this interactions, stakeholders will have access to homogenised summaries of the national consultations which will facilitate the comparability across Member States. An Open House to check stakeholders’ views on this point was conducted by ACER. This exercise has shown the interest of stakeholders’ for NRAs/TSOs to use and publish the template.

# Consultation summary and checklist

The template consists of two parts, a checklist provided as a PDF file, and an online tool which allows the NRA/TSO responsible for the consultation completing a summary of all obligations referred to in Article 26.

The template provides, in a structured manner, the full list of the requirements of Article 26, which are subject to the national consultation(s) on the RPM. When using the template, the NRA/TSO responsible for the consultation on the RPM, should provide the indicated information as defined in Article 26. These requests are based on three criteria:

* **Consultation requirements** based on Article 26.
* **Explanations and guidelines** to the consultation requirements based on Article 26
* **References to the documentation** provided as part of the national consultation

The text included in the template different to the listed obligations of the TAR NC (descriptions, guidelines and requests for references) is intended to clarify the obligation of the TAR NC and is not meant to constitute any additional legal requirements.

In the cases where Article 26 requests elements to be consulted that are effectively not used for the calculation of the RPM, the template allows the NRA/TSO to use the field 'not applicable' while mentioning briefly the reason for the non-applicability of the field.

In addition to requesting information related to Article 26, the template allows the NRA/TSO responsible for the consultation to provide relevant details of the consultation process, such as the closing date of the consultation, the publication dates of the responses to the national consultation, and the contact details of those in the NRA/TSO responsible for running the consultation. The latter will serve for any communication needs arising from the process described in Article 27. Not all of this information will be published in ACER’s website nor as part of the downloadable PDF summary.

### Reading the template

  A colour code has been used for implementing both, the consultation checklist and the survey:

* **Colour-typography**replicates the text of the Tariff NC and provides references to articles of the Tariff NC.
* **Non-coloured typography** provides descriptions and clarifications to the text of the TAR NC.
* Regarding the numbering both, the checklist and the survey, use a coding which is not based on the TAR NC.

The template request references to the consultation document(s) per item of Article 26. These references should include:

* **Name of consultation document referred**.
* **Section and page of the consultation document** where the relevant information can be found

### Deviation is possible in line with the Code requirements (‘GB Case’)

National legislations allows users and TSOs to propose alternative options that will be subject to the national consultation. When foreseen, these alternatives are part of the final consultation and require a different structure from the one provided in this template. In such cases, the Agency asks the TSO/NRA to provide in the template the nature of the deviation and the additional references in the national consultation documentation where the alternative design options can be found. In cases where a full-fledged alternative is provided, the TSO/NRA should consider filling in the template in full.

# Publication of the consultation template

Upon filling in the requested information laid out in the online template tool, the NRA/TSO can access a filled version of template in PDF format. This file contains a summary of the information submitted through the tool with the appropriate references to the national consultation document(s).

The PDF document can be included as an annex to the national consultation. Independently of the NRA/TSO decision to publish this document, the Agency will include the completed templates as part of its analysis and conclusions on the RPM consultations.

# Submission of consultation documentation to ACER

Based on Article 27(1) the final consultation on the RPM shall be forwarded to the Agency. The online template tool allows the NRA/TSO responsible for the consultation to send the consultation documents to the Agency via a secure submission procedure.

Once the Agency has received the consultation documentation, it shall analyse whether all the information referred to in Article 26(1) has been published in the consultation of the RPM by the NRA/TSO, in line with Article 27(2)(a). For the purpose of assessing the completeness of the consultation, the Agency will use the template, both as a summary and as a guide to reviewing the national consultation documentation. The references provided in the template are intended to facilitate reading across consultations.

Following this review on the completeness of the national consultations, the Agency will assess the consultations’ compliance along the criteria set out in Article 27(2)(b). For this purpose, the template provides guidance on how the Agency will evaluate the relevant points of Article 26. The template makes explicit all the requirements that the Agency expects to be fulfilled by the consultations. Alternative approaches are possible whenever the NRA/TSO responsible for the consultation considers so. In either case, the documentation received and the processes employed will be reviewed for compliance by the Agency against the requirements laid out in the TAR NC.

Within two months following the end of the consultation on the RPM, according to Article 27(3), the Agency shall publish the conclusion of its analysis.

### Guidelines for the submission of the consultation documentation to ACER

The Agency requests the NRA/TSO responsible for launching the final consultation on the RPM to follow the below requirements when submitting the consultation documentation to the Agency:

* **All files containing numerical data** should be provided to the Agency in non-protected Excel or Excel compatible files independently of how they are published in the consultation.
* **Files containing text** should be provided to the Agency in Word, Word compatible files, or PDF.
* **Files containing images** should be provided to the Agency in a commonly used image formats or PDF.
* **All data should be provided in non-protected files that allow editing**. If PDFs are used, they should not be protected against editing (e.g.: coping)
* **Confidential information shall be marked clearly as confidential. A non-confidential version of such information shall be also provided as part of the documentation.**

Text in red includes changes based on the version sent for consultation to ENTSOG on 8 May 2017

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Art. 26(1)(a):proposed reference price methodology | | | | | | |
| 1. Information on the parameters used in the proposed RPM related to technical characteristics of the transmission system [Art. 26(1)(a)(i), Art. 30.(1)(a)]: | | | | | | |
| Provide the information on the parameters listed in Article 30(1)(a)(i-v) when they are an input to the proposed RPM or to the CWD methodology. For parameters that are not an input to neither of the two, mark as 'Not applicable' and provide a brief explanation for the non-use of the parameter in the RPMs. The description of the RPM and the justification of the parameters may repeat information requested in other points of Article 26 (e.g.: Article 7). When this is the case, it is up to the NRA/TSO to decide how to better avoid redundancies. The NRA/TSO should consider for this choice that ACER’s analysis of the RPM consultation includes an assessment of compliance of Article 7. | | | | | | |
| Article  26(1)(a) | 1. Description of the proposed reference price methodology. | | | | | |
| Include a reference to, at least, the following elements. If the parameters are not applicable mention so: | | | | | |
| * Choice of RPM * Cost drivers of the RPM * Locational signals in E/E points resulting of the RPM (e.g.: capacity, distance). Locational signals are price levels that send incentives to network users in order for the network operators to achieve an efficient operation and/or expansion of the gas system. If not applicable, indicate. | | * Entry/exit split. Cost reflectivity and application to the RPM. * Capacity/commodity split. Cost reflectivity and application to the RPM. * Adjustments (benchmarking, equalisation and rescaling). * Use of inter-TSO compensation mechanism. Brief note on the application of the RPM in multi-TSO E/E system and reference to the inter-TSO compensation mechanism consultation. Only if applicable. | | | |
| Reference to consultation document(s)  Description | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(i-v) | 1. Justification of the parameters used that are related to the technical characteristics of the system | | | | | |
| Justify the selection and use of the parameters listed in Art. 30(1)(a)(i-v) that are and input to the RPM, in view of the level of complexity of the transmission network in view of the technical characteristics of the transmission system.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(i) | 1. Technical capacity at entry and exit points. Values | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| Provide information when the parameter is an input to the RPM..  Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s). | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(ii) | 1. Forecasted contracted capacity at entry and exit points. Values | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| Provide information when the parameter is an input to the RPM or to the CWD methodology.  Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s) | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(iii) | 1. The quantity and the direction of the gas flow for entry and exit points. Values | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| Provide information when the parameter is an input to the RPM  Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Reference to consultation document(s). | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i) 30(1)(a)(iv) | 1. Structural representation of the transmission network with an appropriate level of detail | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| The representation should include an image of a simplified network depicting the transmission network and distinguishing the elements defined in Art. 2(1)(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 715/2009:   * High-pressure pipelines (other than the upstream pipeline network and other then high-pressure pipelines primarily used in the context of local distribution of natural gas, with a view to its delivery). * Transmission networks which are dedicated to domestic customers * TSO-DSO interface (exit points).   The representation depict all transmission include the whole transmission network included in the RAB.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | The representation should be accompanied by an explanation of the criteria and assumptions used for the simplification (e.g.: clustering and average distances)  Reference to consultation document(s). | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(v) | 1. Additional technical information about the transmission network, such as: the length and the diameter of pipelines and the power of compressor stations | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| Provide pipeline pressure levels when available.  Not applicable. Provide reasoning.  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | Provide assumptions used, if any.  Not applicable. Provide reasoning.  Reference to consultation document(s). | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(i)  30(1)(a)(i) | 1. Other information on the parameters used in the proposed RPM related to technical characteristics of the transmission system [Art. 26(1)(a)(i), Art. 30.(1)(a)]: | | | | Associated assumptions | |
| Provide information when the parameter is an input to the RPM.  No other input used in the proposed RPM. Provide reasoning.  List of other parameters (if relevant).  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | Provide assumptions used, if any.  Not applicable. Provide reasoning.  Reference to consultation document(s). | |
| 1. The value of the proposed adjustments for capacity-based transmission tariffs pursuant to Article 9 [Art. 26(1)(a)(ii)]: | | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(ii)  9(1) | 1. Proposed discount(s) at entry points from and exit points to storage facilities | | | | | |
| Not applicable  Yes, namely: Click here to enter text.% at entry points from storage facilities and Click here to enter text.% at exit points to storage facilities compared to the initial result of the RPM  Information on storage facilities that are connected to more than one transmission or distribution network system. Indicate file or reference:  Other file or reference (e.g. different discounts for different products at the same storage facilities). | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(ii)  9(2) | 1. Proposed discount(s) at entry points from LNG facilities | | | | | |
| Not applicable  Yes, namely: Click here to enter text.% at entry points from LNG facilities.  Other file or reference. Indicate. | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(ii)  9(2) | 1. Proposed discount(s) at entry points from and exit points to infrastructure developed with the purpose of ending the isolation of Member States | | | | | |
| Not applicable  Yes, namely: Click here to enter text.% at entry points from to infrastructure developed with the purpose of ending the isolation of Member States and Click here to enter text.% at exit points to infrastructure developed with the purpose of ending the isolation of Member States compared to the initial result of the RPM.  Other file or reference. Indicate. | | | | | |
| 1. Indicative reference prices subject to consultation [Art. 26(1)(a)(iii)] | | | | | | |
| Article  26(1)(a)(iii) | 1. Indicative reference prices at each entry and at each exit point | | | | | |
| Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | | |
| 1. Cost allocation assessment [Art. 26(1)(a)(iv), Art.5] | | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(iv)  5 | 1. Results of the cost allocation assessment | | | | | |
| Capacity cost allocation assessment | | | Commodity cost allocation assessment | | |
| Capacity cost allocation comparison index: | | | Commodity cost allocation comparison index: | | |
| 1. Components of the cost allocation assessment | | | | | |
| Capacity cost allocation assessment | | | Commodity cost allocation assessment | | |
| Components of the calculation  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | Components of the calculation  Reference to consultation document(s). | | |
| 1. Details of components of the cost allocation assessment | | | | | |
| Capacity cost allocation assessment | | | Commodity cost allocation assessment | | |
| Description of the calculation, including:   * Details of the cost drivers following Article 5(1)(a). * Rationale for the combination of capacity cost drivers. * Where the result of the capacity cost comparison index exceeds 10%, provide the justification for such results. ACER shall assess the consultation’s compliance with Article 7(c) which refers to the cost allocation assessment. The justification in case of the capacity cost comparison index exceeding 10% should be provided to ACER independently of its inclusion in the NRA motivated decision described in Article 27(4).   Reference to consultation document(s). | | | Description of the calculation, including:   * Details of the cost drivers following Article 5(1)(b). * Rationale for the combination of commodity cost drivers. * Where the results of the commodity cost comparison index exceeds 10%, provide the justification for such results. ACER shall assess the consultation’s compliance with Article 7(c) which refers to the cost allocation assessment. The justification in case of the commodity cost comparison index exceeding 10% should be provided to ACER independently of its inclusion in the NRA motivated decision described in Article 27(4).   Reference to consultation document(s). | | |
| 1. Assessment of the proposed reference price methodology in accordance to Art.7 and Art. 13 of the Regulation (EC) No 715/2009 [Art. 26(1)(a)(v)]. | | | | | | |
| ACER will evaluate the compliance of the RPM against the set of principles laid out in Article 7 [Art.27(2)(b)(1)]. For the purpose of making the criteria that will be used for this analysis explicit, the template provides the following non-exhaustive list of suggestions to follow in the assessment.  Quantitative analyses and stakeholder support will be taken by ACER as evidence. When such proofs are not available, compliance will be reviewed based on the explanations provided. | | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(v)  7  13 [Reg. (EC) No 715/2009] | 1. The RPM should: enable network users to reproduce the calculation of reference prices and their accurate forecast. | | | | | |
| The description of the RPM, together with the rest of elements listed in this template should be instrumental to allow replicating the calculation of reference prices. Provide the manner and the order in which these elements are used for the calculation of the RPM.  Provide the reference to the consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| 1. The RPM shall into account the actual costs incurred for the provision of transmission services considering the level of complexity of the transmission network. | | | | | |
| Evaluate the cost reflectivity of the RPM in view of the level of complexity and the technical characteristics of the transmission network. The assessment can be based on elements such as:  How do the level of complexity and the technical characteristics of the transmission network influence the choice of RPM?  Is the use or non-use of locational signals related to the level of complexity and the technical characteristics of the transmission network?  How does the choice of E/E split affect the cost reflectivity of reference prices?  How do reference prices at E/E points relate to the underlying costs of the network?  Indicate any other elements of the RPM relevant to assess the cost reflectivity of the RPM  Provide the reference to the consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| 1. The RPM shall ensure non-discrimination and shall prevent undue cross-subsidisation including by taking into account the cost allocation assessments set out in Article 5. | | | | | |
| Evidence for the assessment should take into account the cost allocation assessment.  Provide the reference to the consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| 1. The RPM shall ensure that significant volume risk related particularly to transports across an entry-exit system is not assigned to final customers within that entry-exit system. | | | | | |
| Explain how the variation in transit flows affects reference prices for final consumers. The assessment can be based on elements such as:  The contribution of the E/E split to the risk bared by final consumers.  Are there any ex-ante splits of revenues for the purpose of intra-system and cross-system users?  Provide the reference to the consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| 1. The RPM shall ensure that the resulting reference prices do not distort cross-border trade. | | | | | |
| Refer, at least, to the effect of the E/E split on cross-border trade  Provide the reference to the consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| 1. Comparison with the CWD methodology (Art. 8) Accompanied by the indicative reference prices subject to consultation set out in Art.26(1)(a)(iii). | | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(vi)  Article 8 | 1. Where the proposed reference price methodology is other than the capacity weighted distance reference price methodology detailed in Article 8, a comparison between both methodologies should be performed. | | | | | |
| The comparison of the proposed RPM and the CWD methodology can be performed based on the following non-exhaustive list:   * Differences, if any, in the input parameters for each of the methodologies. * Differences in the manner in which each of the methodologies reflect the level of complexity and the technical characteristics of the transmission network * Relation of each of the methodologies to * Principles laid out in Art. 7. * Cost allocation assessment in Art. 5.   Provide the same parameters and assumptions used for the CWD as for the proposed RPM, highlighting the differences, if any. When the parameters used for each of the methodologies are different, indicate and follow through the differences in outcomes.  Provide reference to consultation document(s): | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(a)(vi)  8 | 1. Comparison of indicative reference prices at each entry point and at each exit point of the proposed RPM and the CWD detailed in Article 8. | | | | | |
| Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | | |
| 1. Allowed or target Revenue of the tso [Art. 26(1)(b)] | | | | | | |
| 1. Indicative information set out in Article 30(1)(b)(i), (iv), (v). | | | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(b)  30(1)(b)(i) | | 1. Allowed or target revenue, or both, of the transmission system operator. | | | | |
| * If allowed and target revenue are both used, provide detail for each case. * In the case of multiple TSOs, use the indicate and to provide the reference where the information on allowed or target revenue for each TSO can be found. * Units: currency/year   Description  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(b)  30(1)(b)(iv) | | 1. Transmission services revenue. | | | | |
| * Units: currency/year   Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(b)  30(1)(b)(v)(1) | | 1. Capacity-commodity split of the transmission services revenue.   Breakdown between the revenue from capacity-based transmission tariffs and the revenue from commodity-based transmission tariff. | | | | Input for the  cost allocation assessment Articles  5 and  26(1)(a)(iv) |
| Revenue from recovered from capacity-based transmission tariffs: Click here to enter text.%  Revenue from recovered from commodity-based transmission tariffs: Click here to enter text.%  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(b)  30(1)(b)(v)(2) | | 1. Entry-exit split of the transmission services revenue.   Breakdown between the revenue from capacity-based transmission tariffs at all entry points and the revenue from capacity-based transmission tariffs at all exit points. | | | |
| Revenue from capacity-based transmission tariffs at all entry points: Click here to enter text.%  Revenue from capacity-based transmission tariffs at all exit points: Click here to enter text.%  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | |
| Articles  26(1)(b)  30(1)(b)(v)(3) | | 1. Intra-system/cross-border split of the transmission services revenue.   Breakdown between the revenue from domestic network users at both entry points and exit points and the revenue from cross-border network users at both entry points and exit points calculated as set out in Article 5. | | | |
| Revenue from domestic network users at entry points and exit points: Click here to enter text.%  Revenue from cross-border network users at entry points and exit points: Click here to enter text.%  Reference to consultation document(s). | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Information on commodity based and Non-Transmission Tariffs [Art. 26(1)(c)] | |
| 1. Flow based charge. Information on commodity-based transmission tariffs referred to in Article 4(3): | |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(1)  4(3)(a) | 1. The manner in which they are set. |
| Provide the following information:   * Description, rationale and extent to which the flow based charge is used. * Formula with cost drivers for monetary terms / in kind. * Reference used for the calculation (historical flows, forecasted flows or both) * Confirm that the flow based charge is set in such a way that it is the same at all entry points and the same at all exit points.   Not applicable. Provide explanation.  Description.  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(2)  4(3)(a) | 1. The share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs. |
| Not applicable  Share of transmission service revenue (allowed or target revenue) to be recovered by flow based charges  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(3)  4(3)(a) | 1. The indicative flow-based charge. |
| Not applicable.  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| 1. Complementary revenue recovery charge: Information on commodity-based transmission tariffs referred to in Article 4(3): | |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(1)  4(3)(b) | 1. The manner in which they are set. |
| Provide the following information:   * Description, rationale and the extent to which complementary revenue recovery charge is used.   Not applicable. Provide explanation:  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(2)  4(3)(b) | 1. The share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs. |
| Not applicable.  Share of transmission service revenue (allowed or target revenue) to be recovered by complementary revenue recovery charges:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(i)(3)  4(3)(b) | 1. The indicative complementary revenue recovery charge. |
| Not applicable.  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| 1. Information on non-transmission services provided to network users: | |
| Articles  26(1)(c)(ii)(1)  4(1) | 1. Non-transmission service tariff methodologies; |
| Provide:   * List of services considered as non-transmission service on the basis of the criteria laid out in Art. 4(1). * Users to which each of the non-transmission services applies. * Explanation of the non-transmission tariff methodology provided per service.   Not applicable. Provide explanation:  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(c)(ii)(2) | 1. Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from such tariffs; |
| Provide details per type of non-transmission service.  Not applicable  Share of the allowed or target revenue forecasted to be recovered from non-transmission service tariffs.  Reference to consultation document(s): |
| Article  26(1)(c)(ii)(3)  17(3) | 1. The manner in which the associated non-transmission services revenue is reconciled as referred to in Article 17(3); |
| How is the reconciliation done?  Is there a separate account used for this purpose?  Not applicable  Description: |
| Article  26(1)(c)(ii)(4) | 1. Indicative non-transmission tariffs for non-transmission services to network users; |
| Provide formula and description if used.  Not applicable.  Formula and description.  Reference to consultation document(s). |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Compared tariffs and tariff model [Art. 26(1)(d)] | | |
| 1. The indicative information set out in Article 30(2) | | |
| The comparison refers tariffs based on indicative reference prices. Whenever data necessary for this comparison is not available at the time of the consultation of the RPM (e.g.: multipliers and seasonality), provide the date and the source where the information will be available. | | |
| Articles  26(1)(d)  30(2)(a)(i) | 1. Comparison between transmission tariffs applicable for:  * prevailing tariff period, and for * tariff period for which the information is published.   Explain the difference between the level of transmission tariffs | Comparison with past tariff period |
| Comparison of values and explanation to be based on transmission tariffs.  Reference to consultation document(s).  Link to information on TSO/NRA website. |
| Articles  26(1)(d)  30(2)(a)(ii) | 1. Comparison between transmission tariffs applicable for  * tariff period for which the information is published, and for * each tariff period within the remainder of the regulatory period.   Estimated difference in the level of transmission tariffs. | Comparison with upcoming tariff periods |
| Comparison of values and explanation to be based on transmission tariffs.  Reference to consultation document(s).  Link to information on TSO/NRA website. |
| Articles  26(1)(d)  30(2)(b) | 1. At least a simplified tariff model, updated regularly, enabling network users to calculate the transmission tariffs applicable for the prevailing tariff period and to estimate their possible evolution beyond such tariff period. | Tariff model for prevailing tariffs  and  future tariff periods |
| The simplified tariff model should serve for the calculation of tariffs. If the information on multipliers and seasonality is not available at the time of the publication of the consultation on the RPM, it should be indicated. By the time this information is published, the simplified tariff model should be updated to include information on tariffs.  Reference to consultation document(s).  Link to information on TSO/NRA website. |
| Articles  26(1)(d)  30(2)(b) | 1. Explanation of how to use the simplified tariff model |
| Reference to consultation document(s) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Fixed Payable price Under Price cap regime [Art. 26(1)(e)] | |
| 1. Where the fixed payable price referred to in Art.24(b) is offered under a price cap regime for existing capacity | |
| Article  26(1)(e)(i) | 1. Provide proposed index |
| Provide index, components of the index if used.  Not applicable. Provide explanation:  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(e)(ii) | 1. Provide proposed calculation for the risk premium |
| Calculation of the index if used.  Not applicable  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(e)(ii) | 1. How is the revenue derived from the risk premium used? |
| Not applicable  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(e)(iii) | 1. At which IPs is such approach is proposed? |
| Provide IP name and ID if used.  Not applicable  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(e)(iii) | 1. For which tariff period(s) such approach is proposed? |
| Not applicable  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |
| Article  26(1)(e)(iv) | 1. The process of offering capacity at an IPs where both fixed and floating payable price approaches referred to in Article 24 are proposed |
| Provide details on the offering process if used.  Not applicable  Description:  Reference to consultation document(s). |