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Transparency Requirements
Amended Chapter 3 of Annex 1 of Regulation 715/2009

• The transparency requirements focus on
  
  **Format**
  Accessibility, frequency and user-friendliness of information
  
  **Content**
  Key documents and information that must be published
  
  **Scope**
  Relevant points where requirements are applicable

• Information relates to
  
  **Technical Information**
  Technical capacity of flows, contracted and available capacity, nominations and re-nominations, interruptions
  
  **Balancing Information**
  Preliminary imbalance volumes, day-ahead forecasts, daily information on aggregated capacity, and more

12th IG meeting GRI NW
Current GRI NW transparency project (1)

- Proposed by stakeholders at November 2011 GRI NW Stakeholder Group meeting

- Objectives:
  - Monitor compliance of TSOs against the 3rd Package transparency requirements ("Transparency Annex")
  - Consult the market whether data has been published in appropriate manner

- Rationale:
  - Regional approach could deliver benefits in terms of consistency and efficiency and support enforcement work of relevant authorities
Current GRI NW transparency project (2)

- The 25 TSOs of the North West region were asked to provide their valuation on their own state of compliance against the transparency requirements.
- For this purpose, TSOs were given a questionnaire that checks all the requirements in detail.
- All TSOs have responded and completed the questionnaire.
- The questionnaires are now published on ACER’s website, and stakeholders are invited to comment on the findings.
- We will publish conclusions at the end of the consultation period.
Initial thoughts on how to use the received responses

- General comments for improvements
  - Used to elaborate conclusions report and drafting of summary of responses

- Response to compliance of a specific TSO
  - Specific responses will be send to applicable NRA
  - Responsibility of NRA to decide what to do next

- Feedback on summary table
  - Review of comments to understand this in more detail
Initial results (no conclusions are being drawn in this presentation)

- Transparency has improved in recent years, but improvements can be made

  - (Improvement of) publishing historical data
  - Some information only available on portals that require log-on
  - Information (usually) free of charge
  - Different data on opposite sites of an IP
  - Demand for standardisation of data publication
  - Provide explanatory note if TSO is aware of problems with data
Investment project
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Preliminary thoughts from RCC on the GRIP
Positive acknowledgement

- Updated database for demand and supply projections and for the list of FID – non FID projects in the region with a focus on IPs
- Identification of the appropriate IPs influenced by TSOs projects
- Represents an additional opportunity for the TSOs to foster their coordination on investment needs at IPs
- The RCC welcomes the public consultation process
- Learning by doing process
Update on current projects

Preliminary thoughts from RCC on the GRIP
Room for improvements

- Deeper understanding of cross-border congestions:
  - In the EU TYNDP 2011-2020, cross-border congestions were identified in the reference scenario in Denmark-Sweden and Luxembourg and in France in the market integration scenario
  - No additional details are given in the GRIP on these potential congestions and their potential remedies

- Include a modelling exercise in order to simulate the resilience of the system in situations of disruptions or to evaluate market integration

- More infrastructure-based analysis?

- Improve the interaction with stakeholders
  - Stakeholders involvement since the beginning of the process (not only after the publication) in order to obtain efficient results and take into account market expectations
Update on current projects

Next steps

- Proposal of drafting a letter to the coordinating TSO with RCC feedback on the GRIP (30 March 2012).

- April: Telco with TSOs – RCC on RCC feedback to discuss way forward
Update on current projects

Thank you for your attention!

Marie-claire.aoun@cre.fr
Carole.mathieu@cre.fr
**Legislative background / ACER role**

*TSOs shall establish a regional cooperation within ENTSOG. TSOs shall publish a regional investment plan every two years, and may take investment decisions based on that regional plan (Article 12, Regulation n° 715/2009)*

*The Community-wide TYNDP shall build on national investment plans, taking into account regional investment plans (Article 8 – Regulation n° 715/2009)*

**Role of ACER**

- The Agency shall monitor the regional cooperation of TSOs referred to in Article 12 of Regulation (EC) No 715/2009, and take due account of the outcome of that cooperation when formulating its opinions, recommendations and decisions.

- **Deliverables by ACER (Work Programme 2012):**
  
  Regional Investment Plans will complete the EU TYNDP ➔ Complementary opinion could be necessary.
  
  Recommendations to TSOs, NRAs or other competent bodies based on monitoring the implementation of Community-wide Network Development Plan and investigation of reasons for inconsistencies with the national/regional Ten-Year Network development Plans.