MINUTES

**Board of Appeal meeting** 

Thursday, 17 November 2016, 9.00 – 16.30

Ljubljana

## **Present:**

Member	Walter Boltz	
Member	Yvonne Fredriksson	
Member	Jean-Yves Ollier	
Member	Andris Piebalgs	
Member	Mariusz Swora	
Member	Michael Thomadakis	
Alternate	Viorel Alicus	
Alternate	Miltos Aslanoglou	
Alternate	Nadia Horstmann (via teleconference, also with the proxy vote of Mariano	
	Bacigalupo Saggese)	
Alternate	Erik Rakhou	
Alternate	Dominique Woitrin	
ACER Director	Alberto Pototschnig (only in the open part of the meeting)	
Invited guest	Herbert Ungerer (only in the open part of the meeting)	
Registrar	Andras Szalay	

1. **Mr Alberto Pototschnig**, Director of the Agency, welcomed the Board of Appeal and wished successful work for its members and alternates.

**Mr Herbert Ungerer,** former Chairman of the Board of Appeal, summarized the past achievements of the first Board of Appeal and answered to the questions raised by the new members and alternates.

2. The meeting continued as a closed session.

The Board of Appeal approved the Agenda as follows:

	Agenda items	BoA decision
1.	Designation of the Chairman and the Vice Chairman	yes
2.	Modification of the Rules of Procedure	yes
3.	Conflict of interest policy of the ACER and the Board	yes
	of Appeal - discussion on preparation to the next	
	year's exercise	
4.	Approval of the committee's members participating in	yes
	tender evaluation for legal support to the BoA	
	(end of closed session)	
5.	Introductory presentation on the BoA procedures by	no
	the registrar	
6.	Any other business	no



## 3. Discussion of the items of the Agenda

## Agenda item nº 1. – Designation of the Chairman and the Vice Chairman

Pursuant to Article 1.2 of the Rules of Procedure, the Chairman and the Vice Chairman is designated upon a secret ballot.

The Board of Appeal designated Mr Dominique Woitrin as teller to assist in the counting of the vote.

Following a secret ballot and after counting the votes by the teller, the Board of Appeal reached its decision:

#### **BoA Decision 1./17.11.2016**

The Board of Appeal designates Mr Andris Piebalgs as Chairman of the Board of Appeal for a renewable period of two and a half years.

Subsequently, the Board of Appeal selected *mutatis mutandis* its Vice Chairman and reached the following decision:

#### **BoA Decision 2./17.11.2016**

The Board of Appeal designates Mr Jean-Yves Ollier as Vice Chairman of the Board of Appeal for a renewable period of two and a half years.

## Agenda item nº 2. – Modification of the Rules of Procedure

The Board of Appeal overviewed the situation aroused from the Administrative Board decision on the appointment of the members and alternates of the BoA (Decision AB  $n^{\circ}$  18/2016). The relevant AB decision did not couple the members and the alternates



of the BoA and, therefore, it is not clear how to replace a member with an alternate in the event of necessity.

The Board of Appeal concluded that the Rules of Procedure is not to be modified to fill this regulation gap but decided to turn to the Administrative Board for further clarification and to inform the AB concerning the Board of Appeal's viewpoint on the present situation. In this respect, the Board of Appeal reached the following decision:

#### **BoA Decision 3./17.11.2016**

The Board of Appeal gives a mandate to the Chairman to invite the Administrative Board to clarify the question of replacement of the BoA members as well as to inform the Administrative Board that the BoA, for the period until the Administrative Board would provide clarification, interprets the AB Decision in line with the previous one and applies, if necessary, the rules for replacement in alphabetical order.

Agenda item nº 3. – Conflict of interest policy of the ACER and the Board of Appeal – discussion on preparation to the next year's exercise

The Board of Appeal received a short introduction on the (general) conflict of interest rules apply to the BoA members and alternates. The Registrar will circulate the related documents to be filled and the guidelines to facilitate the usage of these forms.

Then, the Board of Appeal reached the following decision:

#### **BoA Decision 4./17.11.2016**

The Board of Appeal appoints Mr Walter Boltz to act, along with the Chairman and the Vice Chairman, as a member of the panel in assessing the potential conflict



of interests in the declarations submitted by the members and alternates of the Board of Appeal.

Agenda item  $n^o$  4. – Approval of the committee's members participating in tender evaluation for legal support to the BoA

In accordance with the Administrative arrangement concluded by and between the Agency and the Board of Appeal, the Agency launched an open call for procurement tender with a view to provide legal services to the BoA in appeal proceedings, under the number ACER/OP/ADMIN/08/2016.

In line with the relevant rules of the Administrative arrangement, the Chairman of the BoA or another designated member replacing him and the Registrar participates in the evaluation of the tenders.

Pursuant to the relevant rules, the Board of Appeal reached the following decision:

## **BoA Decision 5./17.11.2016**

The Board of Appeal acknowledges and encourages the participation of the Chairman and the Registrar in the tender evaluation committee to assess the tenders against the award criteria set up in the tender specifications.

Subsequently, the closed session of the meeting ended and the Board of Appeal continued its work with a presentation.

Agenda item  $n^o$  5. – Introductory presentation on the BoA procedures by the Registrar

The Registrar, in his presentation, provided some further information about the appeal workflows as well as about the tools and resources available to the Board of Appeal.



# Agenda item $n^o$ 6. – Any other business

The Board of Appeal discussed further technical and procedural issues relevant to its
future work.
Then the meeting was adjourned.
For the Board of Appeal:
Andris Piebalgs
Chairman