
**Roundtable meeting with Associations of Energy Market Participants
16th December 2015, 13:30-17:30 and 17th December 2015, 09:30-15:30
(6th/14th floor, ACER's premises)**

Minutes

Day 1

(Chatham House Rules, no names in quotes during the meeting)

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Volker Zuleger	ACER
Elio Zammuto	ACER
Jan Sorli	ACER
Aikaterini Achinioti	ACER
Riccardo Rossi	Gazprom-MT
Katharina Stecker	BDEW
David Trevallion	SSE
Michael Beer	Swisselectric
Bernhard Walter	EURELECTRIC
Robert Jambrich	EURELECTRIC
Vince McCallion	IOGP
Ijaz Rasool	IOGP
Zoltan Balla	IFIEC
Yann CIMINO	EDF
Peter Meeuwis	EASEE-gas
Kaffetzaki Leontini	RAE
Tzvetelina Tzankova	Elcom
Kiran Khatri	Swisselectric
Nadja Keraenen	ECONGAS
Alena Zemanova	EFET (CEZ)
Geoff Boon	E-control
Antonia Maedel	E-control
David Beier	Wingas

Day 2

(Chatham House Rules, no names in quotes during the meeting)

NAME	ORGANIZATION
Volker Zuleger	ACER
Elio Zammuto	ACER
Jan Sorli	ACER
Matthias Jakob	ACER
Aikaterini Achinioti	ACER
Martina Gobbo	AIGET
Marcel Steinbach	BDEW
Peter Meeuwis	EASEE-gas
Carmen Rey De Arce	EASEE-gas
Nadja Keraenen	Econgas
David Trevallion	Energy UK
Bernhard Walter	Eurelectric
Tine Bax	Eurelectric
Ijaz Rasool	IOGP
Michael Beer	Swisselectric
Michael Gurschler	Osterreichs Gr.
Yann Cimino	UFE
Milan Kucera	EFET
Riccardo Rossi	EFET
Tzvetelina Tzawkona	ELCOM

1. Opening

Welcome address and introduction of the participants. The agenda was approved. The minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

ACER explained how questions are processed with different stakeholders.

2. General update on REMIT implementation

ACER provided updates and a review of the year following the publication of IA and said that the data collection would be live starting on 7 October. Some issues had been encountered, like receipt issuing, but everything had been stabilized. ACER said it is important that data is complete and accurate and that ACER had approached OMPs to see if active brokers are not reporting.

There were a lot of questions concerning transaction reporting, registration of MPs etc. The number of questions each month is double the number of all the questions in recent years. The purpose of the FAQ is to answer the questions.

It was agreed that a new call for roundtables the following year would be launched and that the possible dates would be discussed at the end of the meeting. It was agreed that roundtables make it possible to initiate discussions that cannot be initiated in a wider public. There would be a public consultation to see when to have it.

A delay was expected in the process on the completeness and accuracy of data. It is a communication channel between the Agency and the RRM. It is in the interest of both third party RRM and other RRM that they report good data. ACER was also preparing to have a reconciliation capability in April.

ACER said that if the TRUM keeps changing, it will take more time to adjust the IT systems. ACER also said that there is some hesitance on the part of RRM because they wait for ACER to confirm specific things, which ACER cannot do, and that this should not stop them from proceeding. ACER also said that only minor things had so far been changed for the TRUM, specifically a clarification of non-standard contracts.

ACER said it is necessary to explore more ideas on how to interact and address questions faster.

ACER indicated that third party RRM should take up more reporting responsibilities and that everyone should become an RRM. Some third party RRM had already expressed their interest in doing so. TSO, LSO and SSO were being prioritized at the time of the meeting. It was pointed out that the legislation does not require that an RRM has to report everything, only data concerning fundamental and secondary capacity.

A point was raised as to whether there is a way to make the RRM documentation available so that interested parties can see if they want to become an RRM. ACER said they would follow up with the ones that are not active for a while in order to verify if they want to continue.

ACER said there might be a transaction reporting forum and training on how to report in the future.

3. Reporting of non-standard contracts

Question 6 discussions focused on the ways of differentiating between OMPs and PPATs. The former are registered in ACER. No matter what is traded in them, they constitute a standard contract. Gazprom-MT said that, in order to make distinguishing between Table 1 and Table 2 simpler, T+1 should be opted for instead of T+30 when price and quantity are fixed.

EURELECTRIC said that a simplified merge would be supported; for example, if there is a fixed time and volume, a merge is possible. The list (of standard contracts) was not perceived as very useful.

ACER said that based on the legislation it is better to report with Table 2 in T+30 instead of Table 1 in T+1 because this way the price cannot be seen in the index. It was agreed that this issue would be further discussed with the NRAs, who had concerns with regard to IT.

See the PDF file for comments to the questions. All questions up to Q20 were discussed.

4. AOB

No other business was discussed.