

ACER public workshop on the ACER decision on cross-zonal hedging opportunities on the Finnish-Swedish bidding zone borders

Monday, 11 April 2022 | 14.00 - 15.30 CET

AGENDA		
13.45 - 14.00	Webinar open for log-in	Starts promptly at 14.00
14.00 - 14.05	Introductory remarks Martin VIEHHAUSER, ACER	
14.05 – 14.30	Background and process for the ACER decision Martin VIEHHAUSER, ACER	
	Q&A (online submissions via chatbox or by raising hand)	
14.30 – 14.55	Consultation questions related to option (a) – ACER requests the TSOs to issue LTTRs Martin VIEHHAUSER, ACER	
	Q&A (online submissions via chatbox or by raising hand)	
14.55 – 15.15	Consultation questions related to option (b) – ACER requests the TSOs to ensure availability of other long-term cross-zonal hedging products Martin VIEHHAUSER, ACER Q&A, (online submissions via chatbox or by raising hand)	
15.15 – 15.25	Consultation questions related to both options	
	Q&A, (online submissions via chatbox or by raising hand)	
15.25 - 15.30	Closing remarks Martin VIEHHAUSER, ACER	

Workshop Objectives:

- present the context and process for ACER's upcoming decision on cross-zonal hedging opportunities on the Finnish-Swedish bidding zone borders;
- present topics and questions set out in ACER's public consultation, and address stakeholders' queries;

The Finnish and Swedish regulatory authorities have assessed risk hedging opportunities in their respective bidding zones, and identified insufficient hedging opportunities in the Finnish bidding zone.



The regulatory authorities have not been able to adopt coordinated decisions to address these insufficient hedging opportunities and jointly requested ACER to adopt a decision on the matter.

In ACER's upcoming decision, the relevant TSOs will either be requested to issue long term transmission rights (**option (a)** of Article 30(5) of the FCA Regulation) or to ensure that alternative long-term cross-zonal hedging products are made available (**option (b)** of Article 30(5) of the FCA Regulation). ACER would like to receive stakeholders view on the merits of the two options in the public consultation.