

# Public consultation on ACER's Framework Guidelines on the joint scenarios for electricity and gas network development plans ("Scenarios Guidelines")

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

This consultation of the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators ('ACER') is addressed to all interested stakeholders.

The purpose of this survey is to collect specific and concrete views from the public on the draft Scenarios Guidelines and inform ACER's decision-making process for adopting the Guidelines by 24 January 2023.

The draft Guidelines are available [here](#). The consultation questions directly refer to this document. Replies to this consultation should be submitted by Monday **14 November 2022, 23:59 hrs (CET)**

## Data Protection and Confidentiality

---

ACER will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with [Regulation \(EU\) 2018/1725](#), taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing ACER's consultation tasks.

More information on data protection is available on [ACER's website](#).

### **ACER will not publish personal data.**

Following this consultation, ACER will make public:

- the number of responses received;
- organisation names, except those with a valid reason for not having their organisation name disclosed;
- all non-confidential responses;
- and ACER's evaluation of responses.

You may request that (1) the name of the organisation you are representing and/or (2) information provided in your response is treated as confidential. To this aim, you need to explicitly indicate whether your answers contain confidential information, and also provide a valid reason if you want that the name of your

organisation remains confidential.

You will be asked these questions at the end of the survey.

## 1. Respondent's Data

---

\* 1. Name and surname

\* 2. Email

\* 3. Organisation

\* 4. Country of your organisation

- [xx] - All EU Member States
- AT - Austria
- BE - Belgium
- BG - Bulgaria
- HR - Croatia
- CY - Cyprus
- CZ - Czechia
- DK - Denmark
- EE - Estonia
- FI - Finland
- FR - France
- DE - Germany
- GR - Greece
- HU - Hungary
- IE - Ireland
- IT - Italy
- LV - Latvia
- LT - Lithuania
- LU - Luxembourg
- MT - Malta
- NL - Netherlands
- [xx] - Other
- PL - Poland
- PT - Portugal
- RO - Romania
- SK - Slovak Republic
- SI - Slovenia

- ES - Spain
- SE - Sweden

\* 6. Activity

- Transmission System Operator (or association)
- Distribution System Operator (or association)
- Other market participant
- End-user (or association)
- Energy supplier (or association)
- Generator (or association)
- Utility (or association)
- Civil society organisation
- Other

## Confirmation

---

I accept that ACER processes my data in line with its data protection rules

## 2. Consultation questions

---

To help the Agency understand your concrete and specific input, we recommend that you connect your feedback as much as possible to the recital numbers in the draft Guidelines.

8. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the criteria proposed to ensure a timely scenario preparation process (Section 2 of the draft Guidelines).

9. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the proposed criteria to ensure robust objective-driven scenario development (Section 3 of the draft Guidelines).

EASE - The European Association for Storage of Energy believes a central “most likely” scenario is a good practice to follow, and useful to give investors signals, however basing it solely on NECPs is a risk as certain countries have not updated plans to reflect the latest agreed targets for 2030.

The ENTSOs should agree jointly on which additional drivers to use to make other scenarios in order to avoid the dual opposing scenarios that have been seen in the past. Massively opposing scenarios are of little benefit, and simply allow each ENTSO to create its own scenario and not work jointly. The revised TEN-E emphasises the need for the ENTSOs to work together.

When 2030 climate and energy targets are to be considered, this must reflect all targets, including those to be agreed in the Renewable Energy Directive III. Not only the raised renewable energy target of 45%, but potential non-binding targets should be considered such as the 5% energy storage targets, and 5% innovative technology target.

In paragraph (31), energy storage should be listed as topic that the ENTSOs must detail how specific assumptions are included in the scenarios.

10a. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the proposed criteria to ensure a transparent, inclusive and streamlined development process, focusing on the stakeholder engagement requirements (Section 4 of the draft Guidelines, recitals (42)-(48)).

Energy storage must be included in Stakeholder Reference Group. The TEN-E clarifies that actors involved in the electricity market and demand response would be part of the group, which would include energy storage. This should be clarified so it is not ignored.

10b. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the proposed criteria to ensure a transparent, inclusive and streamlined development process, focusing on the information and publication requirements (Section 4 of the draft Guidelines, recitals (49)-(52)).

11. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the process for ensuring independent scrutiny of inputs, assumptions and methodologies (Section 5 of the draft Guidelines).

Where PRIMES is used for scenarios, data must be available as much as possible. This modelling is a “black box”, with little public understanding of how it operates. In general, EASE would prefer alternative models to be used as references and open-source modelling.

12. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the proposed quick-review process to enable updating a scenario in case key assumptions change (Section 6 of the draft Guidelines).

13. Please write here your specific and concrete feedback on the proposed compliance reporting (Section 7 of the draft Guidelines).

14. Would you like to share anything else with us regarding the draft Scenarios Guidelines?

## Confidentiality

---

- \* 15. Your response would be published on the Agency's public consultation web page. Please confirm that:
- My response and name of my organisation can be published
  - My response can be published without my organisation's name (You are asked to give a justification below)
  - My response contains confidential information; a redacted version may be published (Please ensure you marked the specific text by preceding and closing it with [CONFIDENTIAL]. In addition, you are asked to give a justification below)

Thank you!

### **Background Documents**

[Scenarios Guidelines DRAFT](#)

### **Contact**

[Contact Form](#)