Public consultation on ACER’s 2023 market monitoring report on cross-zonal capacities and the 70% margin available for cross-zonal electricity trade (MACZT)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

**Objective**

The objective of this consultation is to gather views from stakeholders regarding the findings of ACER’s market monitoring report on ‘Cross-zonal capacities and the 70% margin available for cross-zonal electricity trade (MACZT)’. Based on the findings of the report and the stakeholders’ input gathered, ACER will issue a formal opinion to the European Commission and European Parliament by the end of 2023.

**Target group**

This consultation is addressed to all interested stakeholders, including market participants, regulatory authorities, nominated electricity market operators, and transmission system operators.

**Contact and deadline**

The contact point for this consultation is: ewpmm@acer.europa.eu

All interested stakeholders are invited to submit their comments by 15 September 2023, 23.59 hrs (CET) by 22 September 2023, 23.59 hrs (CET).

More information on ACER’s monitoring of cross-zonal capacities is available here.

**General terms of the consultation**

* Name of the respondent

[Hidden for privacy]
The Agency will publish all non-confidential responses, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing the Agency’s consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see the Agency’s Guidance Note on Consultations and the privacy statement referred to this consultation.

General feedback - Evolution of cross-zonal capacity levels

To what extent do you agree with the conclusions illustrated in ACER’s 2023 market monitoring report on cross-zonal capacities and the 70% margin available for cross-zonal electricity trade (MACZT)?

- Strongly agree.
- Agree.
- Neutral.
- Disagree.
- Strongly disagree.

What changes would you suggest for future editions of ACER’s cross-zonal capacity report?
Based on the data presented in Chapter 1 of ACER’s report, do you believe that the current development of cross-zonal capacities across the EU is sufficient to enable the integration of European electricity markets?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer.

---

**Margin available for cross-zonal trade in the EU in 2022**

Considering the results of the monitoring exercise of 2022, do you believe that enough progress is being made across the EU to fulfil the 70% cross-zonal transmission capacity target by 2026?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer.

---

In ACER’s report, several elements are presented as critical limitations to the achievement of the 70% cross-zonal transmission capacity target. Please rank them by order of relevance:

5 stars correspond to the biggest threat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitation</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of a mechanism to share remedial actions costs</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of sufficient remedial actions</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suboptimal bidding zone configuration and resulting loop flows</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of sufficient grid developments</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unilateral capacity reductions applied by TSOs

Do you see any other threat to the achievement of the 70% target?

What would be the key enabler(s) for reaching the 70% target by 2026?

Have you been affected by unilateral capacity reductions, such as allocation constraints or individual validation adjustments?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable

Please clarify your answer - in particular, the extent to which you were affected.

Do you believe that enough transparency and justification is provided by TSOs in the application of validation adjustments, or other similar unilateral reductions of cross-zonal capacities?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer.
Do you consider that ACER’s current MACZT monitoring exercise on regions that apply a CNTC capacity calculation methodology provides a complete assessment?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer, and potential suggestions to improve this monitoring.

Unnecessary constrained capacities limit EU welfare

Do you believe that additional cross-border transmission capacity would have played a critical role in coping with the effects of the energy crisis of 2022?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer.

Cross-border capacities can mitigate price spikes in a specific bidding zone under certain conditions. However, additional cross-border capacities would have been unable to mitigate the effects of the 2022 energy crisis. These were the consequences of a structural deficit of electricity production and the sharp increase of gas prices in the whole EU. Cross-border capacities can’t solve a global supply problem at the EU scale.

Do you see a risk for re-dispatching costs to offset the potential gains from increased cross-border transmission capacity and further market integration?

- Yes
- No

Please clarify your answer.

Redispatching and countertrading costs are increasing significantly in recent years. Although the 5 billion euros of congestions costs in 2022 are not only due to the 70%, it seems necessary to re-examine the role played by this tool in the current system, with the aim of demonstrating that the benefits actually outweigh the costs.

Conclusions

Any other comment
The heavy costs induced by costly remedial actions to meet a too high availability targets could slow down the developments of new interconnectors until internal networks reinforcement are done. This could be detrimental when both types of investment are necessary.
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