

141st ACER Board of Regulators meeting

8 July 2026 | 9.00 – 13.00

ACER premises & hybrid

MINUTES

Represented institutions	Names ¹
E-CONTROL (Austria)	M: Michael Strebl; A: Dietmar Preinstorfer; O: Katharina Ledermann-Tappeiner
CREG (Belgium)	M: Koen Locquet; A: Geert Van Hauwermeiren; O: Bart De Waele
EWRC (Bulgaria)	A: Tasko Ermenkov; O: Borislava Petrakieva
HERA (Croatia)	M: Nikola Vištica; A: Sonja Tomašić Škevin
CERA (Cyprus)	A: Alkis Philippou
ERU (Czech Republic)	O: Martin Sik; O: Theodor Sulc; O: Pavla Pohankova;
DUR (Denmark)	M: Carsten Smidt
ECA (Estonia)	M: Evelin Parn-Lee
EV (Finland)	M: Simo Nurmi; O: Veli-Pekka Saajo
CRE (France)	M: Emmanuelle Wargon; A: Nadia Faure; O: Claire Hellich-Praquin; O: Anne-Lise Teani; O: Amélie Redortier
BNetzA (Germany)	M: Annegret Groebel; O: Alexander Linov; O: Nadia Horstmann
RAAEY (Greece)	M: Kosntantinos Tsimaras; A: Komninos Komnios; O: Yuriy Bilen
MEKH (Hungary)	M: Pal Sagvari; O: Tamás Gyarmati
CRU (Ireland)	O: Robert O'Rourke; O: Eilis McDonnell; O: Clodagh Hunt-Sheridan

¹ M: Member; A: Alternate; O: Observer.

ARERA (Italy)	M: Lorena De Marco; A: Francesco Cariello; O: Rossi Stefano
PUC (Latvia)	M: Rota Snuka; O: Alda Ozola; O: Lija Makare
NERC (Lithuania)	M: Renatas Pocius; A: Irma Zdanienė; O: Benas Skublickas
ILR (Luxembourg)	M: Claude Rischette
REWS (Malta)	O: Manuel Manuzzi
ACM (Netherlands)	O: Nora Meray
URE (Poland)	A: Malgorzata Kozak; O: Danuta Sierocinska
ERSE (Portugal)	M: Pedro Verdelho; A: Natalie McCoy; O: Joao Diogo; O: Rita Laranjeira
ANRE (Romania)	M: George Niculescu; A: Tatiana Iosiper; O: Patricia Adegbuyi
URSO (Slovakia)	A: Ivan Rodina
AGEN-RS (Slovenia)	A: Bojan Kuzmic
CNMC (Spain)	M: Rocio Prieto; M: Esther Espeja; O: Ana Varo
EI (Sweden)	A: Caroline Tornqvist; O: Johan Roupe
RME (Norway)	A: Inger Cathrine Bugge
Orkustofnun (Iceland)	M: Hanna Björg Konráðsdóttir
EFTA Surveillance Authority	O: Anne De Geeter
ACER	Volker Zuleger, Christophe Gence-Creux, Rafael Muruais Garcia, Csilla Bartok, Martin Godfried, Karina Knaus, Antonio Santos, Mathieu Fransen, Francois Beaude, Tina Voncina, Mitsuko Akiyama, Argyro Lykaki
European Commission	Christof Lessenich, Anna Maria Marchi, Joachim Balke, Inez Brogowska

1. OPENING

1.1. Approval of the agenda & declaration of conflicts of interest

After welcoming Ms Prieto as the new BoR member from CNMC, the agenda of the 141st BoR meeting was approved. The draft agenda was sent to the European Parliament.

Members were invited to declare any conflict of interest. None was declared.

1.2. Minutes of the 140th BoR meeting

The minutes of the 140th BoR meeting were approved and sent to the European Parliament on 24 June 2026.

Decisions/Conclusions	
1.1	The BoR approved the agenda.

2. UPDATES FROM ACER AND THE BOR CHAIR

2.1 Update from ACER

The ACER Director *ad interim* reported on the ACER ITRE Contact Group meeting of 23 June 2026. The meeting focused on an exchange of views on network tariff practices and included a discussion on ACER's report on gas decarbonisation and the scaling up of decarbonised gases in the EU. The ACER Director *ad interim* also informed the Board about an upcoming visit of the ITRE Contact Group to Ljubljana.

The BoR members took note of the electronic procedure for the BoR favourable opinion of the ACER Decision on the first amendment to the Regional Coordination Centre Regional Sizing of Reserve Capacity Methodology, which was conducted in three rounds ending on 17 June. 21 members participated in the last round and granted the BoR favourable opinion on the draft ACER Decision by the requisite majority of members that participated in the electronic procedure.

2.2 Update from the BoR Chair

The BoR Chair provided an update on the exchange between the Commission and NRA members of 29 June on the upcoming legislative proposal on network charges. The BoR Chair proposed a further discussion once the proposal is published.

The BoR Chair invited members to propose strategic topics for discussion at future BoR meetings.

The BoR Chair also informed the members that the provisional 2027 meeting dates have been shared.

3. DELIVERING ON THE WIDER ENERGY UNION

3.1 Updates from the European Commission

Mr Balke provided a brief update on the European Grids Package, noting that on 26 June, the Council had adopted its general approach on both legislative proposals: the Permitting Directive and the revision of the Regulation on trans-European energy infrastructure (TEN-E). While Member States continued to identify areas requiring further improvement, there was broad agreement that the remaining issues could be addressed during the trilogue negotiations scheduled for the second half of 2026, with the aim of adopting the legislative package by the end of 2026.

Mr Lessenich informed members that the Commission envisages taking forward the work on the White Paper on Deeper Electricity Market Integration, announced as part of the Affordable Energy Action Plan, in the second half of 2026.

Mr Lessenich replied to the query from the BoR Chair regarding the timeline for updating the relevant network codes further to the recommendations following the Iberian incident. Mr Lessenich then updated the BoR members on the revision of the Capacity Allocation and Congestion Management Regulation (CACM).

4. ITEMS FOR OPINION/APPROVAL/AGREEMENT

4.1 ACER Decision on Core day-ahead capacity calculation methodology

On 22 January 2026, the TSOs of the Core capacity calculation region submitted a proposal to their national regulatory authorities to amend the day-ahead capacity calculation methodology (DA CCM). As the regulators could not reach agreement, the proposal was referred to ACER on 30 March 2026. The main purpose of this 4th amendment is to remove long-term allocated (LTA) capacity values from day-ahead capacity calculation and thereby decouple operational safety from long-term capacity volumes, allowing their determination without direct operational security implications. This change is a prerequisite for the adoption and implementation of the flow-based capacity calculation methodology in the long-term timeframe. This solution ensures that DA CC is driven on operational security and cross-zonal capacity maximization while the LT CCM is driven by the hedging needs.

The draft Decision was circulated along with the AEWG advice. In the absence of proposals for amendment/comment, the BoR Chair invited members to vote on whether to grant the BoR favourable opinion and this was granted by the requisite majority of members present and represented.

4.2 ACER Decision on Core long-term capacity calculation methodology

Core TSOs developed the long-term capacity calculation methodology of the Core capacity calculation region (Core LT CCM, which go-live is planned for November 2026) in accordance with Article 10 of Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/1719 of 26 September 2016 establishing a guideline on forward capacity allocation. On 4 February 2026 Core NRAs referred Core TSOs proposal for the amendment to the Core LT CCM to ACER and proposed the following amendments: introduction of historical ATC benchmark to the Core LT CCM; and the

integration of the SEM - France bidding zone border into the Core CCR once Celtic interconnector commissioning is finalised.

The draft Decision was circulated along with the AEWG advice. In the absence of proposals for amendment and comment, the BoR Chair invited members to vote on whether to grant the BoR favourable opinion and this was granted by the requisite majority of members present and represented (with dissenting opinions as referenced in the AEWG advice).

4.3 ACER Decision on the methodology for the coordination and sharing of cost of redispatching and countertrading in Core Capacity Calculation Region

This Decision follows the General Court judgment of September 2024 and Board of Appeal's remittal of the decision to ACER. The methodology establishes the rules TSOs need to follow to determine the different categories of flows (loop, internal, phase shifting transformers, allocated flows) which created network congestions for each capacity calculation region and how the respective costs are shared among TSOs. ACER has to remedy two aspects of the decision: i) loop flow threshold determination; and ii) flow decomposition method.

The draft Decision was circulated along with the AEWG advice. In the absence of proposals for amendment/comment, the BoR Chair invited members to vote on whether to grant the BoR favourable opinion and this was granted by the requisite majority of members present and represented.

4.4 ACER Opinion on the TYNDP 2026 scenario report

On 11 June 2026, ENTSO-E and ENTSG submitted the draft TYNDP 2026 Scenario Report to ACER for opinion under Article 12 of the TEN-E Regulation with delay. The report sets out the energy scenarios that will be used as the basis for future European electricity, gas and hydrogen network planning.

ACER's draft Opinion welcomes improvements compared with previous cycles whilst identifying important shortcomings. The draft Opinion was circulated along with the joint Electricity Working Group and Gas Working Group advice of 17 June. In the absence of proposals for amendment/comment, the BoR Chair invited members to vote on whether to grant the BoR favourable opinion and this was granted by the requisite majority of members present and represented.

4.5 ACER-CEER Monitoring Report: retail data county sheets/dashboard

ACER recalled the approach to retail monitoring, including the timeline and scoping for a 'price formation and market impacts' report as endorsed by the ARWG. The joint ACER-CEER Monitoring Report will analyse the uptake of different types of contracts and the evolution of the retail markets, in particular how the internal market is delivering on affordable energy prices for households and the main barriers to competitiveness.

Separately and ahead of the publication of this report, ACER goes ahead with a new data dashboard, a dynamic comparison tool to be published on the ACER website this July. The dashboard moves further and beyond the country sheets bringing together information on a cross-country basis.

The BoR Chair asked members whether they agree to the endorsement of the dashboard. The BoR endorsed it by consensus of the members present and represented.

Decisions/Conclusions	
4.1	The BoR granted its favourable opinion on the ACER Decision on Core day-ahead capacity calculation methodology by the requisite majority of members present and represented.
4.2	The BoR granted its favourable opinion on the ACER Decision on Core long-term capacity calculation methodology by the requisite majority of members present and represented.
4.3	The BoR granted its favourable opinion on the ACER Decision on the methodology for the coordination and sharing of cost of redispatching and countertrading in Core Capacity Calculation Region by the requisite majority of members present and represented.
4.4	The BoR granted its favourable opinion on the ACER Opinion on TYNDP 2026 Scenarios by the requisite majority of members present and represented.
4.5	The BoR endorsed the ACER-CEER Monitoring Report: retail data county sheets/dashboard by consensus of members present and represented.

5. AWG/ARC KEY ISSUES

5.1 AWG/ARC key issues

The AWG Chairs and ACER provided updates.

- ACER REMIT Committee (ARC) updates

Under Article 4 of the ARC Director Decision, the ACER Director appoints the NRA expert as Co-Vice Chair, giving the utmost consideration to the recommendation of the ARC, as well as to geographical and gender balance. The ARC agreed on recommending Leo Lehr (E-Control) as the NRA Co-Vice Chair. Ms Espeja, the ARC Co-Chair, also reported that the ARC had agreed that following the signature by all NRAs, the MoU between ACER and NRAs will be published.

The ARC meeting of 18 June also discussed proposals for implementing the recommendations of the Gas Market Task Force report of 2 June 2026 with the most significant implications for ACER and NRAs.

- AEWG update

As part of the usual AEWG update, the AEWG Chair announced the change of name of the “Security of Supply Task Force” to “Security of Supply and Flexibility needs Task Force”.

- EU-wide flexibility needs assessment – public audit of the open-source modelling tool

ACER presented the open source modelling tool being developed for the purposes of the EU-wide flexibility needs assessment, which will be made public next year.

AOB

Mr O'Rourke stated that CRU looks forward to welcoming members in Dublin and will circulate information regarding the September GA-BoR meetings in the coming week.