

Responding company - Reganosa

Regasificadora del Noroeste SA (TSO and LSO in Spain)

Do you support the changes suggested by the Agency on Incremental Capacity (new chapter IVa and related articles)? If not, please list which new or amended articles you disagree with and explain why.

Yes we support the changes suggested by the Agency but we want to underline the key role of the various Network Development Plan (at European, Regional and National level).

There are issued every two years and reflect (after a long process) an agreement of all the stakeholders on the required evolution of the European Gas Network. We do not consider appropriate a 6 month period for the regulators to submit a decision as indicated by Article 20b Paragraph 6. We support the inclusion of the TSO proposal within the different process as for every new projects not necessary linked with incremental capacity to ensure it correct integration within the gas system.

Do you support ENTSOG's envisaged proposals to change the default auction calendar in relation to the discussions on the draft Network Code on Tariffs (i.e. to move the annual yearly capacity auctions from March to July, the annual quarterly auctions from June to August and the rolling monthly auctions' start from the third to the second Monday of each month)? If not, please explain why.

Yes we support the ENTSOG proposal

Do you support the further technical changes introduced (e.g. on the auction algorithms (Art. 17 (16) and Art. 18 (3d) & (9)); on the bundling of existing capacity (Art. 20(1); on the allocation of interruptible services (Art. 21(9)) etc.? If not, please list which amended articles you disagree with and explain why.

Yes we support the technical changes

Do you have any other comments related to the proposed NC CAM, changes, and if so which?

No