Public Consultation on day-ahead and within-day multipliers
Based on Article 13(3) of the Network Code on Harmonised Transmission Tariff Structures for Gas
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1. Objective

Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas (‘NC TAR’) entered into force in 2017 and it has introduced a number of provisions on multipliers that are applicable for the calculation of short-term capacity products (quarterly, monthly, daily and within-day).

The NC TAR provides the possibility for the Agency to issue a recommendation to cap the multipliers used to calculate the reserve prices of day-ahead (‘DA’) and within-day (‘WD’) capacity products to 1.5.

The objective of this consultation is to gather views and information from stakeholders on the impact of DA and WD multipliers in order to assess the possibility of issuing a recommendation to limit the level of these multipliers.

The provision foreseeing this possibility is laid out in Article 13(3) of the NC TAR:

“By 1 April 2023, the maximum level of multipliers for daily standard capacity products and for within-day standard capacity products shall be no more than 1.5, if by 1 April 2021 the Agency issues a recommendation in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 713/2009 that the maximum level of multipliers should be reduced to this level. This recommendation shall take into account the following aspects related to the use of multipliers and seasonal factors before and as from 31 May 2019:

- changes in booking behaviour;
- impact on the transmission services revenue and its recovery;
- differences between the level of transmission tariffs applicable for two consecutive tariff periods;
- cross-subsidisation between network users having contracted yearly and non-yearly standard capacity products;
- impact on cross-border flows.”
The Agency invites stakeholders to express their views on the points referred to in Article 13(3) of the NC TAR.

2. Target group

This consultation is addressed to European associations, national associations, TSOs, shippers or energy trading entities, end-users and others.

3. Deadline

Please provide your response by 9 December 2020, 23:59 hrs (CET).

4. Identification data and confidential information

Please indicate the following data:

Name:

Position held:

Phone number and contact e-mail:

Name and address of the company you represent:
OMV Gas Marketing & Trading GmbH, Trabrennstrasse 6-8 A-1020 Vienna

Your country:
AT - Austria

Other country, if not in the list above:

Please indicate, if your company/organisation is:
- European association
- National association
TSO
Shipper or energy trading entity
End-user
Other (e.g. Power Exchanges, Storage Operator etc.).

If other, please specify below:

Any confidential information should be marked clearly as such, including the word ‘CONFIDENTIAL’ in the subject of the e-mail, as ACER will not treat e-mails which contain only a general disclaimer (usually automatically added) as containing confidential information. If respondents want to claim confidentiality, they should provide an explanation of their confidentiality interests and a non-confidential version of their response for publication. For more details on this, please see the Rules of Procedure of the Agency (Article 9 of Decision No 19/2019 of the administrative board of the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators of 11 December 2019)

Is your input into this consultation confidential?

☐ Yes
☐ No

5. Publication of responses and privacy

The Agency will publish all non-confidential responses, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing the Agency’s consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see the Agency’s Guidance Note on Consultations and the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation.

6. Related documents

- ACER Guidance Note on Consultations
- Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460 of 16 March 2017 establishing a network code on harmonised transmission tariff structures for gas

7. Background
Multipliers are used to set tariffs for short-term gas transmission capacity products in comparison with the reference prices applied to yearly capacity products. Article 13 of the NC TAR sets out that the level for DA and WD multipliers for standard capacity products shall be no less than 1 and no more than 3. In duly justified cases, the level of the respective multipliers may be less than 1, but higher than 0, or higher than 3.

Overall, shippers use different capacity booking strategies taking into account their supply and demand portfolios, market dynamics and gas transmission tariffs both on yearly and short-term capacity products. For example, shippers may secure a certain amount of capacity with yearly capacity products while they cover the seasonal and short-term variations with short-term capacity products.

Multipliers can impact the gas market in various ways, depending on the balance between the short-term and the long-term:

On the first hand, relatively high multipliers on short-term products can deter network users from booking short-term capacity for trading or balancing purposes. On the other hand, high multipliers incentivises yearly bookings which are deemed favourable to TSOs revenue recovery and which allow shippers to flow gas across hubs even when spot market spreads are below the capacity reference price.

From a competition perspective, multipliers can also lead to different outcomes. They have a distributional effect, through the share of revenue recovered from users holding short-term or long-term capacity products. Multipliers can be set with the primary objective of avoiding cross-subsidisation between network users and enhancing the cost-reflectivity of reserve prices. In contrast, low short-term multipliers can be considered as a way to foster competition and to incentivise more dynamic booking strategies.

When setting multipliers, NRAs should consider these different interactions, as required by Article 28 of the NC TAR, to avoid a potential welfare loss for EU consumers.

8. Consultation topics and questions

For all the questions, please provide supporting evidence, which can include the identification of IPs were a referred event is relevant and/or a time period for the phenomena observed (how, when and for how long it applies). Supportive evidence can include data, tables and it can be accompanied by examples.

Factual evidence on the effects of the current provisions is highly relevant to evaluate their effectiveness and to assess whether a recommendation could lead to an improvement.

**Topic 1: Changes in booking behaviour**

1. What role do short-term capacity products (DA and WD) play in your capacity booking strategy (balancing activities, market arbitrage, supply profiling…)?

   Short-term products play a considerable role in our gas transportation activities. The diversified portfolio of OMV requires the availability of short-term products for various purposes, such as supply profiling and balancing in order to provide a cost-efficient supply to our customers.
2. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers impact booking behaviour and booking strategies (could be your own booking strategy or those of other market players)? For instance, have you observed that low DA and WD multipliers can shift contracted capacity from yearly capacity products to shorter-term capacity products?

- Yes
- No
- Other

2.1 Please explain your reasoning:

OMV GAS cannot make a general statement yet, whether different levels of multipliers lead to a shift in capacity products. Firstly, we observed the trend of short-term bookings rather than entering into new long-term contracts continues. Secondly, the level of multipliers set in national tariff regulations was – apart from a few exemptions - relatively stable over the last years. Another reason is, that in those markets where different multipliers have been introduced, the time for observing the impact was either too short or changes in multipliers did not even become effective (e.g. in Austria – Jan 2021). Furthermore a significant share of network points affected is not subject to mandatory booking data publication (as an example end-consumer connections or domestic production bookable on FCFS basis).

**Topic 2: Impact on the transmission services revenue and its recovery**

3. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers impact transmission services revenue and its recovery? In particular, could low DA and WD multipliers induce under-recoveries of TSOs’ revenues on a transitory basis (in most systems such under-recoveries are systematically rolled to next years by revenue reconciliation mechanisms)?

- Yes
- No
- Other

3.1 Please explain your reasoning:

OMV GAS is not in the position to draw a conclusion on that question yet, because we believe that the period for observing the impact of (changing) DA and WD multipliers on the cost-recovery of TSOs is too short.

**Topic 3: Differences between the level of transmission tariffs applicable for two consecutive tariff periods**

4. Have you observed significant changes in DA and WD multipliers in the 2016-20 period?

- Yes
- No
- Other
4.1 Please explain your reasoning:

We refer to our observations explained in 2.1, that except for a few exemptions, the short term multipliers have been relatively stable in most of the national tariff decisions. In addition we have observed that the relevant short-term tariff per network point is always a combination of several NC TAR elements (E/E split, seasonality profiles etc). Therefore focusing on the DA/WD factor or changes/limitations on such factors does not exclusively determine the transmission price for short-term products.

5. Have you observed that changes in multipliers have led to changes in the tariffs applicable for other capacity products (e.g. yearly capacity product)?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Other

5.1 Please explain your reasoning:

In our view, periodical changes in the allowed revenues or declining booking forecasts distort the picture and do not provide a valid basis for direct comparison.

---

**Topic 4: Cross-subsidisation between network users having contracted yearly and non-yearly standard capacity products**

6. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers have placed or could place in the coming years excessive costs on short-term capacity compared to the costs recovered through yearly capacity products?

☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ Other

6.1 In the affirmative, how could it affect competition and market integration?

Yearly and long-term capacity contracts still cover a significant share of TSO revenues in some MSs. In particular in oversized transmission networks or networks with strongly declining transport volumes, such as the Netherlands, this leads to a strong level of cross-subsidization between of network users. ACER shall therefore recommend to allow for long-term contract termination rights and with this, to foster an effective internal gas market.

6.2 Please explain how you evaluate if costs for short-term bookings are excessive compared to yearly bookings and on what criteria you base your argument.

We believe such comparisons could only be made under equal level playing field conditions. Only if network users were allowed to terminate long-term contracts, direct competition (or an “excessive” ratio) between DA/WD and annual tariffs could be evaluated properly.
**Topic 5: Impact on cross-border flows**

7. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers have impacted or could impact in the coming years cross-border flows? Consider, in particular, situations where high DA and WD multipliers may prevent the use of available cross-border capacity or where high multipliers for DA and WD capacity product may negatively affect the correlation between gas prices in neighbouring hubs.

- Yes
- No
- Other

7.1 Please explain your reasoning:

We cannot comment on this specific question because, besides DA/WD factors, cross-border flows are (mainly) determined by a set of other components from NC TAR (E/E split, seasonality factors, postage stamp vs distance based models etc.)

8. Have you observed that DA and WD multipliers can be a market barrier (for instance by granting an advantage to holders of long-term bookings)?

- Yes
- No
- Other

8.1 Please explain your reasoning:

| No comment |

**Conclusion**

9. From your perspective, what would be the advantages and disadvantages of capping DA and WD multipliers at 1.5 across Europe?

We cannot conclude on this question. At the moment NC TAR is not effectively in place in all national tariff methodologies (i.e., across all MSs) therefore the evaluation can only be made as soon as all NC TAR elements (such as an NC TAR compliant RPM) are in force in all member states. We therefore highly recommend to provide a second observation window for market participants at a later stage to consult on that important matter.

Thank you for your reply!
Contact

Contact Form