

# Public Consultation - Network Code on Demand Response

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

### 1. Objective

The objective of this consultation is to gather views and information from stakeholders regarding ACER's revisions on the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response (related documents 'E' below). The input from the consultation will be used by ACER to further amend the draft network code and related legal framework before submitting the final recommendation to the European Commission by March 2025.

### 2. Target group

This consultation is addressed to Electricity Transmission System Operators (TSOs), electricity Distribution System Operators (DSOs), Regional Coordination Centres (RCCs), Nominated Electricity Market Operators (NEMOs), investors, network users, producers, suppliers, new market players, exchanges, balancing providers, public authorities, academics, think tanks, environmental groups, civic society and other interested parties.

### 3. Contact and deadline

You are kindly asked to submit your responses through the survey tool by **31 October 2024, 23:59 hrs (CET)**.

Apart from replying to the survey questions, expressing your level of agreement/disagreement with the revisions, and providing your comments, you are also welcome to submit proposed amendments to the public consultation documents, through the 'file upload' section of this survey. In this case, **please use the following Word files** (can be downloaded from Section 6 on this page):

**A. "20240905 DR NC ACER public consultation"** with ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response;

**B. "20240905 EB Regulation amendments DR NC"** with ACER's revisions to the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing (Electricity Balancing Regulation);

**C. "20240905 SO Regulation amendments DR NC incl CACM 2.0"** with ACER's revisions to the

Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1485 establishing a guideline on electricity transmission system operation (System Operation Regulation); and

**D. “20240905 NC DC 2.0 amendments DR NC”** with ACER's revisions to the Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/1388 establishing a network code on demand connection (Demand Connection Regulation).

In this case, and while providing your suggested amendments in **track changes** mode, please **use as “Author”** for your edits the name of the organisation/association/company on behalf of which the survey submission is made (“**entity name**” in the survey fields). Also, please use this approach (i.e. uploading a file) to **only** propose amendments to the text, NOT for providing comments, as the comments should only be submitted through the survey fields. Correspondingly, the survey fields should NOT be used for proposing amendments to the text.

In case of submissions that do not respect any of the above rules, they will be rejected and not be taken into consideration.

In case of questions on the public consultation you may send a request for clarification to **ACER-ELE-2024-008@acer.europa.eu**.

#### 4. Identification data and confidential information

\* Name of entity

\* Name of the respondent

\* Email

\* Country of the entity's seat

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary

- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovak Republic
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden

\* Activity

- Trader (or association)
- Energy supplier (or association)
- Aggregator (or association)
- Generator (or association)
- Utility (or association)
- End-user (or association)
- Market operator (or association)
- Transmission network operator (or association)
- Distribution network operator (or association)
- Regulatory authority
- Other (please specify)

\* Please specify other activity

\* Does your submission into this consultation contain confidential information?

- Yes
- No

**If the submission contains confidential information, confidentiality claims shall be submitted by: (a) identifying each part of the submission which is considered to be confidential; (b) clearly identifying the specific persons or undertakings with regard to which such information is to be considered confidential; (c) justifying each of your confidentiality claims; and (d) providing a non-confidential version. The non-confidential version shall be provided through a separate full submission to this public consultation, which shall have identical identification data and content with the confidential version, except for those parts that are considered to be confidential. It is clarified that non-confidential versions are not to be provided as document files via e-mail or through the 'file upload' section of the survey.**

**In case of failure in following the procedure mentioned above, ACER may assume that all the information provided (including any attachment) contains no confidential information and that there are no objections to the disclosure of such information (including any attachment) in its entirety.**

\* Please explain what is the reasoning of your confidential submission.

I confirm having provided a non-confidential version of my submission

## 5. Publication of responses and privacy

The Agency will publish all non-confidential responses, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing the Agency's consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation.

I confirm having read the [Data Protection Notice](#)

## 6. Consultation documents

**Consultation note (Important:** please read this document before responding to the survey)

[20240905 Note on public consultation on DR NC.pdf](#)

**A. "20240905 DR NC ACER public consultation"** with ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response

[20240905 DR NC ACER public consultation.docx](#)

**B. "20240905 EB Regulation amendments DR NC"** with ACER's revisions to the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/2195 establishing a guideline on electricity balancing (Electricity Balancing Regulation)

[20240905 EB Regulation amendments DR NC.docx](#)

**C. "20240905 SO Regulation amendments DR NC incl CACM 2.0"** with ACER's revisions to the Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/1485 establishing a guideline on electricity transmission system operation (System Operation Regulation)

[20240905 SO Regulation amendments DR NC incl CACM 2.0.docx](#)

**D. "20240905 NC DC 2.0 amendments DR NC"** with ACER's revisions to the Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/1388 establishing a network code on demand connection (Demand Connection Regulation).

[20240905 NC DC 2.0 amendments DR NC.docx](#)

**E. Article mapping table** of the network code on demand response (consultation document 'A' vs related document 'E')

[Article mapping table\\_PC.xlsx](#)

## 7. Related documents

A. [Regulation \(EU\) 2019/942](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators.

B. [Regulation \(EU\) 2019/943](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast).

C. [Directive \(EU\) 2019/944](#) of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on common rules for the internal market for electricity and amending Directive (EU) 2012/27 (recast).

D. ACER [Framework Guideline](#) on Demand Response, 20 December 2022.

E. EU DSO entity and ENTSO-E [proposal for a network code on demand response](#) ([full proposal package](#))

## DR NC Articles 1-18 (Title I)

---

What is your general opinion on the following Articles of ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response?

Opinion table

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Article 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 6	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 8	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 9	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 10	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 11	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 12	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Article 13	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 14	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 15	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 16	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 17	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 18	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you wish, please explain your answers in the comment table below.

Comment table

	Comment
Article 1	
Article 2	
Article 3	
Article 4	
Article 5	
Article 6	
Article 7	
Article 8	
Article 9	
Article 10	
Article 11	
Article 12	
Article 13	
Article 14	
Article 15	
Article 16	
Article 17	
Article 18	

## DR NC Articles 19-37 (Titles II, III)

---

What is your general opinion on the following Articles of ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response?

Opinion table

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Article 19	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 20	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 21	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 22	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 23	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 24	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 25	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 26	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 27	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 28	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 29	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 30	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 31	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 32	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 33	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 34	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 35	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 36	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 37	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you wish, please explain your answers in the comment table below.



Comment table

	Comment
Article 19	
Article 20	
Article 21	
Article 22	
Article 23	
Article 24	
Article 25	
Article 26	
Article 27	
Article 28	
Article 29	
Article 30	
Article 31	
Article 32	
Article 33	
Article 34	
Article 35	
Article 36	
Article 37	

## DR NC Articles 38-53 (Titles IV, V, VI)

---

What is your general opinion on the following Articles of ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response?

Opinion table

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Article 38	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 39	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 40	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 41	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 42	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 43	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 44	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 45	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 46	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 47	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 48	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 49	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 50	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 51	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 52	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 53	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you wish, please explain your answers in the comment table below.

Comment table

	Comment
Article 38	
Article 39	
Article 40	
Article 41	
Article 42	
Article 43	
Article 44	
Article 45	
Article 46	
Article 47	
Article 48	
Article 49	
Article 50	
Article 51	
Article 52	
Article 53	

## DR NC Articles 54-66 (Titles VII-XI)

---

What is your general opinion on the following Articles of ACER's revisions to the EU DSO Entity's and ENTSO-E's proposal for a network code on demand response?

Opinion table

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Article 54	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 55	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 56	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 57	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 58	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 59	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 60	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 61	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 62	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 63	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 64	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 65	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Article 66	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you wish, please explain your answers in the comment table below.

Comment table

	Comment
Article 54	
Article 55	
Article 56	
Article 57	
Article 58	
Article 59	
Article 60	
Article 61	
Article 62	
Article 63	
Article 64	
Article 65	
Article 66	

## Revisions to Electricity Balancing (EB), System Operation (SO) and Demand Connection (DC) Regulations

---

What is your general opinion on ACER's revisions to the Electricity Balancing (EB), System Operation (SO) and Demand Connection (DC) Regulations (per topic)?

### EB Regulation: Revision topics & related articles

Topic 1. Functions and responsibilities: Articles 15-18

Topic 2. Requirements for standard products: Articles 25 and 62

Topic 3. Settlement of balancing energy: Articles 45 and 49

Topic 4. Imbalance settlement: Articles 52 and 54

Topic 5. Financial transfer and compensation: Article 55A

### SO & DC Regulations: Revision topics & related articles

Topic 6. Moving provisions regarding demand units providing demand response from DC Regulation to SO Regulation: Articles 2, 52, 53, 54, 56, 81, 105, 107, 127, 154 and 156 (SO Regulation) / Articles 27-33, 41 and 45 (DC Regulation)

Topic 7. Consistency with demand response network code provisions regarding data exchange between TSOs and DSOs, in line with the DSO observability area: Articles 40, 51 (SO Regulation)

Topic 8. Consistency with demand response network code provisions regarding grid prequalification and temporary limits: Article 182 (SO Regulation)

Topic 9. Moving provisions regarding data exchange from system users from demand response network code to SO Regulation: Article 53 (SO Regulation)

### Opinion table

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Topic 1	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 5	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 6	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 7	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 8	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Topic 9	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If you wish, please explain your answers in the comment table below.

Comment table

	Comment
Topic 1	
Topic 2	
Topic 3	
Topic 4	
Topic 5	
Topic 6	
Topic 7	
Topic 8	
Topic 9	

## General consultation topics and questions

---

### Topic 1: Level of harmonisation

The aim of the new rules on demand response is to enable the participation of demand response including load, energy storage and distributed generation (individually or aggregated) in all electricity markets, contributing to market integration, non-discrimination, effective competition and the efficient functioning of the market. However, respecting the principle of proportionality, the new rules should not go beyond what is necessary to achieve this purpose. Following the requirements of the framework guideline, ACER tried to revise the proposal to achieve this balance, through the establishment of national TCMs, which will be further harmonised through European methodologies in the future.

### Consultation questions

1. Do you see any harmonisation requirements of the framework guideline not being covered by the demand response network code articles or the amendments to the existing regulations?

- Yes  
 No

\* 1.1 Please provide the respective framework guideline paragraph numbers. (note: please do not include here requirements of the framework guideline that are not fully addressed in the network code, as this can be added as a comment in the respective article's comment box)

2. Do you see any areas of the demand response network code where stronger requirements are needed when it comes to harmonisation?

- Yes  
 No

\* 2.1 Please name the top three areas, providing comments on the direction of the harmonisation.

### Topic 2: Structure of terms and conditions or methodologies

As presented in Section 9.2 of the attached note on public consultation on DR NC, in the revised network code, ACER opted for splitting the various national TCMs, although the intention of the framework guideline was to have less TCMs. ACER considers that this merging should be the final goal, as it ensures higher consistency between the different TCMs and is beneficial for all involved parties: both administratively



(development and approval of the respective proposal) and content wise (involvement of the stakeholders and implementation). Therefore, ACER considers potential merging and asks for the stakeholders' views on that.

### Consultation questions

3. Do you see benefit in further merging the different national TCMs?

- Yes
- No

\* 3.1 Please provide your suggestions and reasoning.

4. Do you consider that some topic should be addressed in a different national TCM?

- Yes
- No

\* 4.1 Please provide your suggestions and reasoning.

### Topic 3: Amendments to existing regulations

As mentioned in Section 9.3 of the attached note on public consultation on DR NC, ACER agrees with some of the system operators' proposed amendments to existing regulations, but further assesses the full package, especially the amendments proposed by ENTSO-E alone. Although the stakeholders are invited to submit their views on the specific amendments proposed by ACER in the respective parts of the survey, below you are also invited to submit more general views on the amendment to existing regulations, as part of the new rules on demand response in the context of this process.

### Consultation questions

5. Do you see additional amendments needed in the System Operation Regulation?

- Yes
- No

\* 5.1 Please specify the areas.

6. Do you see additional amendments needed in the Electricity Balancing Regulation?

- Yes
- No

\* 6.1 Please specify the areas.

7. Title III of the DR NC covers the qualification of service providers, SPUs and SPGs, for balancing and local services procured in accordance with a market-based mechanism. Do you consider that part(s) of Title III should be transferred in another regulation?

- Yes
- No

\* 7.1 If yes, which part(s) and in which regulation?

## File upload

---

### Important reminder

Before uploading proposed amendments to the consultation documents, please make sure that:

- the name of the organisation/association/company on behalf of which the survey submission is made (“**entity name**” in the survey fields) appears as "**Author**" for all edits made to the documents; and
- the documents to be uploaded include only proposed amendments to the text and not comments, which should only be submitted through the survey fields.

Please upload your proposed amendments to file "**20240905 DR NC ACER public consultation**"

Only files of the type doc,docx are allowed

Please upload your proposed amendments to file "**20240905 EB Regulation amendments DR NC**"

Only files of the type doc,docx are allowed

Please upload your proposed amendments to file "**20240905 SO Regulation amendments DR NC incl CACM 2.0**"

Only files of the type doc,docx are allowed

Please upload your proposed amendments to file "**20240905 NC DC 2.0 amendments DR NC**"

Only files of the type doc,docx are allowed