

[GAS Network Codes Functionality Platform](#)

REPORTED ISSUE ID: 03/2018.
**Methodology for domestic/transit
transmission**

Reported by: Energy regulatory office (CZ)
Status: WITHDRAWN

Table of Contents

Issue Details	3
---------------	---

REPORTED ISSUE ID: 03/2018. Methodology for domestic/transit transmission

Reported by: Energy regulatory office (CZ)

Status: WITHDRAWN

ISSUE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

Due to historical developments, some transmission systems are designed to provide sufficient capacities to large transit flows. TAR NC regulation does not deal with this situation. Insisting on one methodology for all points is causing discrepancy with the Directive 2009/73/EC, which obliges not to move the transit capacity risk to customers within E/X system.

Category: National

REPORTED ISSUE

TAR NC Art 6: Transmission system operators in certain entry-exit systems transport significantly more gas into other systems than for consumption into their own entry-exit system. Consequently, reference price methodologies should include safeguards required to shelter such captive customers from risks related to large transit flows.

TAR NC Art. 7: The reference price methodology shall comply with Article 13 of Regulation (EC) No 715/2009 and with the following requirements. It shall aim at:(c) ensuring non-discrimination and prevent undue cross-subsidisation including by taking into account the cost allocation assessments set out in Article 5; (d) ensuring that significant volume risk related particularly to transports across an entry-exit system is not assigned to final customers within that entry-exit system;

Our question is, how to solve the issue, what solution would ACER suggest to apply? Having one methodology for all the points will assess the transit volume risk to customers within given E/X system. What is your statement on having certain points of the transmission system using the price cap regime, on other points, the non-price regime and, and finally on some points, the combination of the two regimes?

Alternatively, there might be another solution - all the IP points would be under the price cap regime, while the points related to domestic customers and infrastructure within the E/X system (storages) would be under non-price cap regime.

CONCERNED ENTITIES

Network Code / Guidelines concerned:

Network Code on Harmonised Transmission Tariff Structures for Gas, Commission Regulation (EU) 2017/460

Member State(s) concerned:

- Czech Republic

IP(s) concerned:

None selected

NOTIFIED PARTIES

Informed NRA(s):

None selected

Informed TSO(s):

None selected

SUGGESTED ACTIONS

Who should act:

- ACER

Suggested solution or action:

- Adjustment of implementation