



GAS Network Codes Functionality Platform

REPORTED ISSUE ID: 10/2018. Avoid development of two electronic invoice formats for capacity

Reported by: EASEE-gas Status: CLOSED

Table of Contents

| Issue Details | 3 |
|---|---|
| SOLUTION - Gas Network Codes Functionality Process Issue Solution | 5 |

REPORTED ISSUE ID: 10/2018. Avoid development of two electronic invoice formats for capacity

Reported by: EASEE-gas

Status: CLOSED

ISSUE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

BDEW has been asked by their regulator (BNetzA) to develop an electronic invoicing format for capacities in Germany. The EASEE-gas Edig@s WG is also looking into an invoicing format in Edig@s XML. EASEE-gas is concerned that the development of an electronic invoicing format by two different entities will lead to two different formats (most likely an Edifact format by BDEW and a Edig@s XML format by EASEE-gas).

Category: National

REPORTED ISSUE

It came to the attention of EASEE-gas MWDWG (Edig@s Working Group) that BDEW has been asked by their regulator (BNetzA) to develop an electronic invoicing format for capacities in Germany. The Edig@s WG is also looking into an invoicing format in Edig@s XML. EASEE-gas is concerned that the development of an electronic invoicing format by two different entities will lead to two different formats (most likely an Edifact format by BDEW and a Edig@s XML format by EASEE-gas). One standard invoicing format is beneficial to all market participants and as Edig@s XML is the preferred standard mentioned in INT NC article 21, the development of the invoicing format should be in Edig@s XML.

CONCERNED ENTITIES

Network Code / Guidelines concerned:

Network Code on Interoperability and Data Exchange Rules, Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/703 *Member State(s) concerned:*

Germany

IP(s) concerned:

None selected

NOTIFIED PARTIES

Informed NRA(s):

None selected

Informed TSO(s):

None selected

SUGGESTED ACTIONS

Who should act:

ACER

ENTSOG

Suggested solution or action:

• Other (create a common european standard for invoicing of capacity)

SOLUTION

ACER and ENTSOG are of the opinion that the issue is not valid for the FUNC process.

First, capacity bookings invoicing is not covered by the network codes. Second, capacity invoicing is not considered to be fundamental for the interoperability of TSOs and network users IT systems. And third, the issue reported only concerns one Member State.

However, it is still seen as a valid concern of the stakeholders and should be dealt with separately outside the Functionality Process.

Solution publication date: 2018-11-15

DOCUMENTS

SOLUTION - Gas Network Codes Functionality Process Issue Solution





GasNCFunc Issue Solution Invoicing Format issue FUNC004-18 15 November 2018 Final

Gas Network Codes Functionality Process Issue Solution

| Issue details | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Number: | 477-18-07-19-1137 | | |
| Name: | Avoid development of two electronic invoice formats for | | |
| | capacity | | |
| Reporting party: | EASEE-gas | | |
| Network Code / Guidelines | Network Code on Interoperability and Data Exchange | | |
| concerned: | Rules, Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/703 | | |
| Article of the Network Code / | Not Defined | | |
| Guidelines | | | |
| Category: | N/A | | |
| Abstract: | | | |

BDEW has been asked by their regulator (BNetzA) to develop an electronic invoicing format for capacities in Germany. The EASEE-gas Edig@s WG is also looking into an invoicing format in Edig@s XML. EASEE-gas is concerned that the development of an electronic invoicing format by two different entities will lead to two different formats (most likely an Edifact format by BDEW and a Edig@s XML format by EASEE-gas).

| Issue | SO | lution | (s) |
|-------|----|--------|-----|
|-------|----|--------|-----|

Publication date: 15 November 2018

ENTSOG and ACER are of the opinion that the issue is not valid for the FUNC process. First, capacity bookings invoicing is not covered by the network codes. Second, capacity invoicing is not considered to be fundamental for the interoperability of TSOs and network users IT systems. And third, the issue reported only concerns one Member State. However, it is still seen as a valid concern of the stakeholders and should be dealt with separately outside the Functionality Process.

ACER and ENTSOG encourage a dialogue between EASEE-gas and BDEW or other relevant bodies in order to find a common solution and at the same time elaborate with the involved parties a process for going towards a common approach.

The issue will be closed on the FUNC platform.