## ACER workshop on rate of change of frequency and grid forming capabilities KEY TAKE AWAY OF EG RESULTS EXPERT GROUP: ADVANCED CAPABILITIES FOR GRIDS WITH A HIGH SHARE OF POWER PARK MODULES

Chair and vice-chairs:

- Hariram Subramanian, Solar Power Europe, Huawei
- Florentien Benedict, Expert Regulation at Stedin DSO, CEDEC.
- Mian Wang, Senior Consultant at Siemens Energy, Orgalim, representation since 09/2022
- Papiya Dattaray, Power Systems Advisor at Siemens Energy, Orgalim. Maternity leave since 09/2022

## AGENDA

- 01 Overview of ACPPM
- 02 Summary of results
- 03 ACPPM Legal text proposal in view of ACER draft amendments

# Expert group: Advanced capabilities for Grids with a High Share of Power Park Modules **OVERVIEW**

- / Established by GC-ESC on December 2021
- / Establishment was based on a GC-ESC Stakeholder survey
- / Objectives:
  - / Identify system needs for advanced capability
  - / Identify capability options to satisfy these needs
  - / Provide overview on technology readiness
  - / Recommendation on the inclusion into relevant articles of connection codes

# Expert group: Advanced capabilities for Grids with a High Share of Power Park Modules **OVERVIEW**

- / Start of work was delayed, as it took some time to find a chair and co-chair.
- / 48 Members of 13 GC-ESC member organisations and two external experts
  - / ENTSO-E, CENELEC, GEODE, EUTurbines, WindEurope, EURELECTRIC, VGB, COGEN Europe, Orgalim, SmartEn, EASE, CEDEC, SolarPowerEurope and ACER observer.
- / Work started on April 2022
  - / 12 EG Meetings and many task force meetings
- / Work ended on April 2023, draft report delivered to GC-ESC
- / Draft report is available at:
  - / https://www.entsoe.eu/network\_codes/esc/#gesc-meetings



# ACPPM Report Draft 0.9 SUMMARY

- / Chapter 1 to 4 provide an introduction and information about the EG and the state of knowledge
- / Chapter 5 gives an overview of the terms and definitions, used is in this report.
- / Chapter 6: Qualitative description of the system needs
  - / general statement on how these needs could be provided by grid-forming power park modules (PPM)
- / Chapter 7: Potential issues for distribution networks
- / Chapter 8: Overview of the capabilities, limits and technology readiness of various power generating technologies and grid asset technologies
- / Chapter 9: Recommendations for developing the compliance verification and compliance monitoring
- / Chapter 10: Information on possible paths to deliver these capabilities.
- / Chapter 11: Proposal for a legal text, based on the technical chapters, agreed by all experts of ACPPM

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- / Develop the compliance and monitoring requirements for grid forming technologies
- / Undertake more research into the effects of high penetration of grid forming converters in DSO networks, including in particular stability issues.
- / Initiate a program of creation of relevant standards, which should also include conformity tests and models for digital simulations.
- / Implementation should be phased, recognizing the developing maturity of the understanding of the effects of interactions of grid forming converters (GFC).

## AGENDA

- 01 Overview of ACPPM
- 02 Summary of results
- 03 ACPPM Legal text proposal in view of ACER draft amendments

# ACPPM Report Draft 0.9 ACPPM LEGAL TEXT PROPOSAL

- / ACPPM introduces squared brackets into the legal text where a common agreement was not reachable.
  - / The decision about these items was forwarded to ACER
- / ACPPM finds many aspects of its text proposal included in the ACER draft
- / We consider this as an acknowledgement of our work and thank ACER for this
- / However, there are several deviations of the text
  - / Some are due to the squared brackets decisions
  - / Some are rewordings to improve the legal validity of the text
  - / Some seem technical in nature and are considered critical as they shift the negotiated agreements in ACPPM

## Article Y 9. (c) RESPECT THE MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL DESIGN OF A GENERATING UNIT

#### **ACER PROPOSAL**

After inception of a network disturbance in voltage magnitude, frequency or voltage phase angle, the following shall apply **with regard to** the power park module's **grid forming capability**, including current limits and inherent energy storage capabilities of each individual unit.

#### **ACPPM CHAPTER 11**

After inception of a disturbance (disturbances in voltage magnitude, frequency and voltage phase angle), the following shall apply **within the** power park modules **capability** including current limits and inherent energy storage capabilities of each individual unit

/ The ACPPM intention of "within the capability" was that no hardware design change is needed due to grid-forming.

- / Is this still ensured by the term "with regard"?
- / The ACPPM intention was to focus on current carrying mechanical capabilities. Why is "grid-forming" introduced to the capability?

## Article Y 9. (c)(i) PREDEFINE THE DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE

#### **ACER PROPOSAL**

The relevant system operator in coordination with the TSO shall **predefine the dynamic performance** regarding voltage control and specify the temporal parameters thereof.

### **ACPPM CHAPTER 11**

The internal voltage of each individual unit of the power park module shall be adapted according to a predefined dynamic performance in a stable and bumpless manner.

The RSO in coordination with the TSO shall **specify the temporal parameters** of the predefined dynamic performance in (c)(i) regarding voltage control.

- / The ACPPM sees the risk, that member states will establish deviating definitions of the dynamic performance and thus contradict harmonisation and increasing efforts for manufacturers and owners.
- / The ACPPM intention was to limit national implementation to temporal parameters
  - / Timing/speed of the response this is most relevant for the grid and
  - / allows some freedom of implementation to the manufacturer.
  - / Prevents multiple implementations throughout Europe

## Article Y 9. (c)(ii) CURRENT LIMITATION

#### **ACER PROPOSAL**

Where current limitation is necessary **from a transmission or distribution system operator point of view**, the relevant system operator may specify additional requirements regarding contribution of active and reactive power at the point of connection.

### **ACPPM CHAPTER 11**

Where current limitation is necessary, the RSO may specify additional requirements regarding contribution of active and reactive power at the point of connection.

- / The ACPPM intention was to define the situation, where grid events might result in currents of a PPM that exceed the maximum current capability of a generating plant or generating unit.
- / The added TSO/DSO point of view indicates rather an issue of excess short circuit power in a grid section.
- / Converters have limited short circuit power provision, a limitation from TSO/DSO point of view seems not needed
- / The needed limitation from generating unit point of view once the PPM capability according to Y 9.(c) is exceeded, is not defined any more

## Article 20 4. (a) INERTIA OF TYPE B PPM OR STORAGE MODULE

### **ACER PROPOSAL**

....Where specified, the power park module shall be capable of contributing to limiting the transient frequency deviation under high frequency conditions. Additionally where specified, the **power park module** shall be capable of contributing to limiting any frequency deviations from the nominal value.

#### **ACPPM CHAPTER 11**

....Where specified the power park module shall be capable of contributing to limiting the transient frequency deviation under high frequency conditions. [Additionally where specified, the **storage module** shall be capable of contributing to limiting the transient frequency deviation under low frequency conditions.]{TSO perspective}

- / The ACPPM intention was to differentiate between generating modules and storage modules.
- / Power generating modules have typically a very small inherent energy storage. So Inertia can only be provided by curtailing the prime mover and this only in over frequency reducing power.
- / Storage modules have typically a significant inherent energy storage that can technically be used to provide inertia.
- / In the ACER proposal the two sentence technically overlap. The intention is unclear.

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION