



SolarPower  
Europe

# SPE Statement on draft amendments to NC RfG – Grid Forming Capabilities

ACER workshop on rate of change of frequency  
and grid forming capabilities

**10 May, 2023**

Presented by Thorsten Bülo

# For Solar PV PPM, a mature realization of grid forming capabilities according to the text proposal is unrealistic

1. Detailed specification mandates for the TSO / RSO addressing the fundamental control characteristics → **risk of a large variety** of requirements
2. Within **three** years
  - standardization (requirements and tests),
  - national implementation, as well as
  - testing and certificationof an all new function would have to be concluded
3. Yet, there are **no experiences** with grid forming solar PV systems, the technology readiness is very low and there is low inherent energy storage (small potential grid forming contribution)  
→ high **risk for EU solar installation targets** due to a shortage of compliant equipment as soon as requirements apply

# Uncertainties and hidden complexities

- Totally new requirement concept without an objective acceptance criterion: Who judges, if the requirement „grid forming **within current and energy limits**“ (Y,9.(a-c)) is sufficiently fulfilled?
- Need to **activate or deactivate grid forming** capability (Y,8.; Y,9.(d)) means
  - all further grid code requirements will have to be complied with **in both modes** (doubling standardization and compliance effort (testing / certification))
- If the RSO can predefine the overall voltage control dynamic performance („shape“ and temporal parameters), we expect a **large variety of non-harmonized requirements** (Y,9.(c)(i))
- Potential **mandate to stipulate additional storage** / extended energy limits leads to high risks for manufacturers and plant operators (21,4.(b))

# Need for clarification

- Article Y,6. seems to contradict Y,9.
  - TSO „may specify“ grid forming capability / PPM „shall be capable“ of providing GFM capability
  - Does Article Y,9. only apply in case the TSO has specified gridforming capability or to all PPM?
- Article 20,4.(a)
  - „Additionally where specified, the power park module shall be capable of contributing to limiting any frequency deviations from the nominal value.“
  - Does this include the mandate to force renewable PPM to **keep continuous active power headroom?** (ACPPM intentionally specified this behaviour only for energy storage modules)

# Conclusion and recommendation

For PV, **all-new complex and non-standardized requirements** that would have to be fulfilled in **short time**, (with only **low benefits for system stability**), lead to a **high risk** not to reach EU's PV installation targets

1. Do **not make** grid forming capabilities a **requirement for all PPMs**
  - The requirement approach “within the capabilities” creates uncertainties and is potentially inefficient
  - Expected large variety of requirements among the member states creates market confusion and potential shortages in installation capacities
2. Introduce reliable grid forming capabilities by **commercial incentives** (ancillary service market-based sourcing) with **objective and quantitative criteria** to reach technical maturity
  - Much more efficient, reliable and non-discriminatory
  - Learn from those experiences (e.g. from utilization in energy storage systems (much higher readiness!))
3. For PV-PPM, **focus on measurable** (synchronization-) **robustness** (e.g. phase jump immunity) **and frequency control stability criteria**

Thank you for your  
attention!

